



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – REVEREND MARY BREDLAU, CHRIST CHURCH EPISCOPAL
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD (arrived at 9:04 a.m.), BROWN, L. B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:01 – 9:02)

1-1

REVEREND MARY BREDLAU, Christ Church Episcopal, gave the invocation.

(9:02 – 9:04)

1-15

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:04 – 9:05)

1-66

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS
DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:
CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF CITIZEN OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

At the request of COUNCILMAN WEEKLY, two videotapes were shown. One depicting KI KROLL giving several youth tennis lessons, and the other of ANDRE AGASSI, tennis professional, endorsing and congratulating MR. KROLL as Citizen of the Month for October. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that MR. KROLL is a wonderful tennis coach who has given many young people a positive direction and the hope and determination to aspire to be the next ANDRE AGASSI.

MR. KROLL expressed his sincere appreciation and stated that he feels very fortunate to be at the Agassi Club, where he has met many wonderful children.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that MR. AGASSI has a heart of gold and he recently held a function that raised \$4.2 million to benefit at-risk youth.

(9:05 – 9:09)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF OCTOBER AS FIRE PREVENTION MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

CHIEF DAVID WASHINGTON, Las Vegas Fire and Rescue Department, stated that October 7-13, 2001 is National Fire Prevention Week. Already ten people in Las Vegas have lost their lives due to fire incidents. Fire and Rescue encourages everyone to do their part in preventing fires in the community. He indicated that an open house providing fire safety information would be held at Fire Station No. 3 on Saturday, October 13, 2001, from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., and at the Fire Training Center on Saturday, October 20, 2001, from 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. He gave the phone number (383-2888) to call for any questions or assistance. He noted that the Immunization Coalition of Southern Nevada would be attending both open houses to offer flu and pneumonia shots for senior citizens at a reduced rate. He reminded everyone to change the batteries on their smoke detectors, because they really do save lives.

CHIEF WASHINGTON thanked the Council for its continued support of Fire Prevention Month.

(9:09 – 9:12)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE LAS VEGAS FIRE AND RESCUE DEPARTMENT'S HEART
SAFE COMMUNITY

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CHIEF KEN RIDDLE, Las Vegas Fire and Rescue Department, stated that it was an honor to bring to the public's attention the City of Las Vegas' receipt of the Heart Safe Community Award. On April 10, 2001, the International Association of Fire Chiefs EMS Section and Medtronic Physio-Control Manufactured Defibrillators recognized the efforts of the Fire and Rescue Department in promoting the use of automatic external defibrillators in the community.

The criteria for the award consisted of creation of a public access defibrillation program in the community and the surrounding areas, creative approaches to overcoming obstacles for implementing public access defibrillation programs, increased awareness of the need for public access defibrillation through education and training efforts, and ongoing support for the community public access defibrillation program.

In 1997 the cardiac arrest history was reviewed for the entire Valley from 1993 to 1996. A paper was published in the American Journal of Emergency Medicine that demonstrated a survival rate in Las Vegas of 29%. It also showed that survivors who were defibrillated were defibrillated in five minutes or less. Based on this research, the Las Vegas Fire and Rescue Department met with local cardiologists and the Nevada Resort Association and

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Ceremonial

Recognition of the Las Vegas Fire and Rescue Department's Heart Safe Community

MINUTES – Continued:

mounted a campaign to promote the use of defibrillators in the community. In 1998 Boyd Gaming became the first corporation to endorse the concept and placed defibrillators in seven of their properties. Now there are more than 100 defibrillators in over 30 hotel/casinos, two beverage companies, and one car dealership. Consequently, the survival rate for Las Vegas exceeds 50%, the highest in the world.

With that, he recognized NURSE SANDY YOUNG, EMS CHIEF HANK CLINTON, and DR. STEVEN KARCH for truly making Las Vegas a Heart Safe Community. He noted that the award received was a crystal plaque and the donation of a defibrillator, which will be placed in City Hall.

(9:12 – 9:15)

1-304

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF EMPLOYEE PARTICIPATION AND GRAND PRIZE DRAWING FOR
THE CITY SPONSORED ENERGY CONSERVATION CONTEST

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that he has received many telephone calls from citizens who are concerned about the high cost of energy, especially in light of the recent layoffs. As a matter of record, he advised that City staff explored the possibility of providing energy. Unfortunately, the Legislature took away that ability from municipalities. He urged citizens to call the State Consumer Advocate, TIM HAYES, to express their concerns about the increasing cost of energy.

He stated that with the country experiencing soaring energy costs and shortages, the City of Las Vegas challenged its employees to take an active role in energy conservation efforts. As an organization, the City of Las Vegas has initiated 49 conservation projects, conserving over 4.9 million kilowatt hours for a savings of more than \$500,000.

Additionally, during the summer months, when energy consumption was highest, the City sponsored a contest to reward employees who showed a reduction on their power bills at home from the same month last year. This challenge was a success. With 235 entries received, City employees collectively saved 104,995 kilowatt hours.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Ceremonial

Recognition of Employee Participation and Grand Prize Drawing for the City Sponsored Energy Conservation Contest

MINUTES - Continued:

He then recognized the employees who saved the most during the summer months. For June, TONY MORELLI, Public Works Department, saved 1713 kilowatt hours; for July, DRAKE CHERRY, Building and Safety Department, saved 1840 kilowatt hours; and for the month of August, MIKE OLSON, Finance and Business Services, saved 2612 kilowatt hours. Each of these employees will receive some special prizes.

MAYOR GOODMAN announced the grand prizewinner, JENNIFER CAMPBELL, Leisure Services, who would receive a VCR, provided by LARRY HAUGSNESS, Director of Field Operations.

He pointed out that the grand prizewinner, along with the top monthly energy savers, could claim their prizes October 10, 2001, from LYNN BARBOZA in the City Manager's office.

MAYOR GOODMAN thanked Nevada Power and the following City Departments that generously donated the contest prizes: Human Resources, Leisure Services, Detention and Enforcement, Planning and Development, Fire and Rescue, Administrative Services, and the City Council Office. Donated prizes were awarded each month for all those employees who showed a savings. He also thanked all the City employees for helping to save energy. He noted that citizens look to their leaders to make changes.

(9:15 – 9:20)

1- 400

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNIZE CITY EMPLOYEE MARIA CASTILLO-COUCH AS KLAS CHANNEL 8
PORTRAIT OF SUCCESS RECIPIENT

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required. The presentation was not made.

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Ceremonial

Recognition of Nurse/Midwife Week

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that Nurse/Midwife Week is very special to him because [KATHLEEN MANIACI, who appeared for the presentation](#), is very special to him. He has known MS. MANIACI since he lived in Reno, Nevada. He felt honored to recognize Nurses and Midwives Week.

MS. MANIACI thanked the Council for its support and for honoring the midwives.

(9:20 – 9:22

1-553

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 56 and 57 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:22)

1- 642

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of September 5, 2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-669

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: DETENTION AND ENFORCEMENT

DIRECTOR: MICHAEL SHELDON

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of revised bi-annual Contractual Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Clark County Library District to provide library services to the inmates of the detention center facility (\$75,312 - General Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$75,312

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Detention and Enforcement

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

To approve minor revisions related to indemnification and scope of services to be provided by the contractual agreement with the Las Vegas Clark County Library District to provide library services to inmates housed at the detention center facility.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the contract.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Contractual Agreement for Library Services

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

NOTE: The initial motion for approval by Reese taken on the Consent Agenda, which included Item #45, carried unanimously and was subsequently reconsidered only as to Item #45 upon a motion by Reese that also carried unanimously.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY advised that the Real Estate Committee met to review all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 08/16/01 - 08/31/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 6,934,034.09
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,301,704.42
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 26,206,390.72
Total NBS and City Investments	\$ 0.00

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)
Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of transfer of funds in the amount of \$500,000 from Parks & Leisure Capital Project Fund (CPF) to the Detention & Enforcement CPF for the purpose of accelerating the Close Custody Unit Renovation - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$500,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The renovation of the Close Custody Unit and relocation of City Jail operations to the Detention Center is currently scheduled for funding in fiscal year 2003, but acceleration of the project will provide better utilization of corrections personnel resulting in cost savings opportunities.

The fiscal year 2002 Capital Improvement Plan appropriated \$600,000 for the renovation of the lake in Lorenzi Park. Innovative maintenance techniques employed by the Field Operations Department have terminated the need for that project. Consequently, staff recommends that \$500,000 of this Parks & Leisure CPF project funding be reallocated to the Detention & Enforcement CPF for the purpose of accelerating the Close Custody Unit Renovation project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the transfer, in the amount of \$500,000, from the Parks & Leisure CPF to the Detention and Enforcement CPF.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion to STRIKE, directing staff to bring it back at the 10/17/2001 meeting with a different funding source – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN expressed full support of the Close Custody Unit Renovation project. However, he was surprised that \$500,000 would be transferred from Parks and Leisure Capital programs, which he does not remember being part of the briefing he received. He asked if the Parks fund is the only fund where the money is available for the Renovation project. MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, replied that the \$500,000 is from a project

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Consent - Finance and Business Services

Item 5 – Approval of transfer of funds in the amount of \$500,000 from Parks & Leisure Capital Project Fund (CPF) to the Detention & Enforcement CPF for the purpose of accelerating the Close Custody Unit Renovation project

MINUTES – Continued:

that was on the Capital Improvement Projects list for this year that was no longer necessary because of innovative approaches that the Field Operations Department took towards the Lorenzi Park rehab. He suggested that monies from the City's Building Facility Reserve fund be used for the Renovation project, and that the bed revenues for fiscal year 2002 from the Renovation project then be put back into the Building Facility Reserve fund. This would allow the \$500,000 to stay in the Parks Capital Reserve fund to be prioritized for other parks projects on the list. COUNCILMAN BROWN preferred MR. VINCENT'S suggestion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY questioned the funds mentioned by MR. VINCENT that were allocated for Ed Fountain Park. MR. VINCENT answered that there are some B Bonds from 1997 that have been identified for an unspecified project at Ed Fountain Park, but Ed Fountain Park is not on the CIP priority list.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that he gets concerned when money is taken out of the park fund for other projects, because the parks in Ward 5 need a lot of renovation, and he does not want them to be put on hold for improvements any longer.

At the advice of CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC, COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that the matter would have to be stricken.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed MR. VINCENT to advise him in the future on funds being taken from parks so that he does not make promises to his constituents that he will not be able to keep, as far as Ward 5 parks.

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:32)

1-723

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Steiner's "A Nevada Style Pub", Location: 8410 West Cheyenne Ave., Suite 107, Date: October 6, 2001, Type: Special Event General, Event: Oktoberfest 2001, Responsible Person in Charge: Vicki Ellis - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Tenaya Creek Restaurant & Brewery, Location: 3101 North Tenaya Way, Date: October 6, 2001, Type: Special Event General, Event: Oktoberfest, Responsible Person in Charge: Katherine "Lee" Lardner - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Gateway Arts Association, Location: 107 East Charleston Blvd., Date: October 13, 2001, Type: Special Event General, Event: Outdoor Music & Arts Festival, Responsible Person in Charge: Catherine Dixon - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Package Liquor License, American Drug Stores, Inc., dba Sav On Drugs #9053, 2100 West Charleston Blvd., Charles J. Rastello, Gen Mgr - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Guillen and Guillen, dba Tony's Mexican Food, 2041 North Jones Blvd., #100, Antonio Guillen and Evelia Guillen, 100% jointly as husband and wife - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Almeida & Almeida, dba Maggie's Tavern, 1203 East Charleston Blvd., Suites I & J, William E. Almeida and Margarita Almeida, 100% jointly as husband and wife - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License and a Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots subject to continued compliance with Gaming Control Board regulations, MMIG, Inc., dba Foothills Ranch, 3377 North Rancho Drive, Brenda Lee Skipworth, Mgr - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License and a Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to continued compliance with Gaming Control Board regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Burglar Alarm License, Security Signal Devices, Inc., dba SSD Systems, 1740 North Lemon Street, Anaheim, CA 92801, John F. Affeld, Pres, 100% - (Anaheim, CA)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Burglar Alarm License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Sam Madani, dba Smile Massage Therapy, 4601 West Sahara Ave., Suite G, Sam Madani, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Jeanne Brouillette, dba Jeanne Brouillette, 7310 Smoke Ranch Road, Suite M, Jeanne M. Brouillette, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Name for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Li Huan Huang, dba From: Hong Kong Style Shiatsu, To: Sakura Shiatsu, 4320 Sanderling Circle, Unit 89, Li H. Huang, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Name for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Approval of a new Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of Health Dept. regulations, Family Food II, dba Family Food II, 1602 H Street, Salar Shoshani, 50%, Thamer Jarjees, 50% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

SALAR SHOSHANI and THAMER JARJEES were present.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY verified with the applicants that all the provisions discussed would remain.

There was no further discussion.

(9:32 – 9:33)

1-982

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 02.1730.09-RC, Peak Avenue Storm Drain and Sanitary Sewer Improvements, Torrey Pines to Jones Boulevard and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: FREHNER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. (\$1,771,111 - Enterprise Fund) Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,771,111☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Eng. Design☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The project involves drainage improvements to Peak Drive from Torrey Pines Drive extending approximately ½ mile east to an existing storm drain out-fall system. The project also involves a new sanitary sewer on Peak Drive beginning at Mustang Street and running approximately 2000 linear feet east to Jones Boulevard and approximately 165 linear feet north where it will connect to an existing sanitary sewer system.

POC: Dennis Wise - (702) 649-2530

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 02.1730.09-RC, Peak Avenue Storm Drain and Sanitary Sewer Improvements, Torrey Pines to Jones Boulevard to Frehner Construction Company, Inc. in the amount of \$1,771,111 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$100,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)
Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 01.15301.06-LED, Baker Park Phase III, New Pool & Bathhouse and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: J.A. VAY & SONS, INC. (\$1,561,250 - Capital Projects Fund) Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$1,561,250

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This project consists of the construction of a new 75' X 50' pool, a 3,441 SF bathhouse facility, and park restrooms. It will include masonry construction with steel frame, metal deck and roof, new pool equipment, pool equipment building, pool heater system, concrete deck, steel fence and landscaped areas.

POC: David Aegerter - (702) 491-9144

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.15301.06-LED, Baker Park Phase III, New Pool and Bathhouse to J.A. Vay & Sons, Inc., in the amount of \$1,561,250 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$ 150,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Use Agreement to utilize Clark County Bid Number 4825-00 (TC) for the purchase of nine (9) 4-Door Police Interceptor Package Sedans - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: FRIENDLY FORD (\$200,000 - Capital Funds)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$200,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On January 22, 2001, the Clark County Commission approved the award of Bid Number 4825-00 for the purchase of Model Years of 2001 and 2002, 4-Door Police Interceptor Package Sedans. This request will allow the City to use the Clark County's contract to acquire nine 4-door police interceptor package sedans.

This purchase is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to join onto or use the contracts of other local governments.

POC: Bart Peterson - (702) 877-6526

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the use of Clark County Bid Number 4825-00 for the purchase of nine (9) Police Interceptor Package Sedans in the amount of \$200,000, from the date of award through 2002 production year.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Use Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 010106-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Tires and Tire Repair - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: TED WIENS TIRE (Estimated annual amount of \$40,000 for Lots 1 and 4 - General Fund) and CHARLIE CASE TIRE (Estimated annual amount of \$145,000 for Lots 2, 3, and 5 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$185,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for tires and tire repair throughout the City of Las Vegas on an as needed basis.

POC: Chris Publow, Ted Wiens Tire - (702) 732-2382

POC: Michael Case, Charlie Case Tire - (702) 736-4388

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010106-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Tire and Tire Repairs to Ted Wiens Tire and Charlie Case Tire, from date of award through November 30, 2002, with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated annual amount of \$185,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 01.40211.01-LED, Furnish & Install Diesel Exhaust System at Fire Station #3 - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: CREATIVE AIR CONSULTANTS, INC. (\$61,814 - Capital Projects Fund) Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$61,814

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The work consists of furnishing and installing a diesel exhaust system in the bays of Fire Station #3.

POC: Pat Morasca - (775) 885-7844

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.40211.01-LED, Furnish & Install Diesel Exhaust System at Fire Station #3 to Creative Air Consultants, Inc. in the amount of \$61,814.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICE

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of an Emergency Notification System - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: DIALOGIC COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION (\$52,345 - Special Revenue Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$52,345

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Special Revenue Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of a high speed emergency notification system to be used by the Fire & Rescue Department to provide the City of Las Vegas a fast and effective means of notification in times of an emergency.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Bill Carmen - (800) 723-3207

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for an emergency notification system to Dialogic Communications Corporation in the amount of \$52,345.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to renew the vendor contracts for Employee Medical Insurance Providers (\$623,820 - Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$623,820

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Human Resources

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas contracted with various providers through calendar year 2001. In anticipation of renewals of these contracts, the City sent a Request for Proposal to prospective vendors to assure our pricing and contracts were in line with the market place. Annual administrative costs for the plan are within approved FY 2002 budgets.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the provider contracts be renewed.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Las Vegas City Employees' Association dated September 13, 2001
3. Arthur Andersen PPO Funding Analysis Report dated April 17, 2001
4. Letter from Diversified Dental Services, Inc. dated June 26, 2001
5. Letter from VSP dated June 27, 2001
6. Summary of Projected Insurance Costs for 2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to contract with Health Plan of Nevada for Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) services (\$766,500 - Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund) (**NOTE: This item is a companion to Item #24.**)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$766,500☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Human Resources☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas has a PPO medical plan. A response to the RFP has availed the City of another health care option, a Health Maintenance Organization (HMO). This would give employees the choice of medical plans and should reduce the cost of the PPO medical plan proportionately. The assumption of costs is based on current percentages and with a 10% enrollment into the HMO. Costs are within approved FY2002 budgets.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the contract with Health Plan of Nevada for Health Maintenance Organization services be approved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Rate page from the response to the Request for Proposal dated July 2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to renew the Life Insurance Program with Standard Insurance (\$205,000 - All Funds Fund) (NOTE: This item is a companion to Item #24.)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$205,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Human Resources

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: All Funds Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City Basic Life Insurance Plan, Executive Life Insurance Plan, and Accidental Death and Dismemberment Plan are offered through Standard Insurance. The current contract expires 12/31/01. Splitting rates of active employees and retirees will result in a cost savings of \$70,000 over the 2002 blended rate renewal. The increase is due to an increase in claims experience.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the contract with Standard Insurance be renewed.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter from Standard Insurance dated July 23, 2001
2. Standard Insurance Company 2002 Renewal Summary for City of Las Vegas

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of the First Amendment to the Agreement with Economic Opportunity Board Child Care Assistance Division granting Neighborhood Services certified match funding in the amount of \$281,174 for the Child Care Improvement Grant Program - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The city of Las Vegas certified the \$300,000 received from the 1999 Legislative Session in order to become eligible for federal government funding. EOB Child Care Assistance Division is one of the State designated administrators for the federal funding. The agreement will grant certified match funding in the amount of \$281,174 to augment the Child Care Improvement Grant (CCIG) program. The CCIG Program improves the quality of childcare through education, training and facility improvements. In addition, the CCIG program will expand to incorporate the needs of the Child Care section of the Business License Division, providing consumer education to licensed child care providers. The City has been granted an extension to use the funding until September 30, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the First Amendment to the Agreement with EOB Child Care Assistance Division in the amount of \$281,174 and authorize the Mayor to execute the agreement

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. First Amendment to Agreement for Quality Enhancement Programs and Services
2. Agreement for Quality Enhancement Programs and Services

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract No. 383 between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission, for the design of Buffalo Drive-Sky Pointe Drive to Deer Springs Way (\$50,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract applies to improvements to Buffalo Drive from Sky Pointe Drive to Deer Springs Way. The project also includes striping for a nominal distance north of Deer Springs Way to Wittig Road and full half-street improvements south of Deer Springs Way along BLM property. Total cost of this project shall not exceed \$733,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract #383

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file an amendment to Right-of-Way Grant No. N-66402 with the Bureau of Land Management for an additional area for sewer easement purposes on portions of land lying within the North Half (N 1/2) of Section 5, T20S, R60E, M.D.M., generally located on the south side of Kraft Avenue, west of El Capitan Way - 138-05-101-006 - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineers

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the Willing Buyer/Willing Seller Program for the Durango Drive - Phase III "S" Curve Improvements Project - Tropical Parkway to Centennial Parkway (\$ 690,000 Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$690,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This item is related to Agenda Item #47 of the City Council meeting of March 15, 2000, which directed staff to appraise and purchase adjacent parcels identified under this program. Acquisition costs will not exceed \$690,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Policy Setting Forth the Qualifications & Procedures of Willing Seller/Willing Buyer Program

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Contract Modification #1 with Las Vegas Paving to install new water services and new water mains as part of the Washington Avenue-Martin Luther King to I-15 to Owens Avenue project (\$418,000 - Las Vegas Valley Water District) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$418,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: LVVWD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Las Vegas Valley Water District needs to install new water services and water mains along B Street and Washington Avenue. It was determined that it would be more cost effective and also prevent the future cutting of a new street if this work was constructed by the City's contractor reconstructing Washington Avenue. This item will be fully funded by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Contract Modification #1

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from PBS & J Engineers on behalf of W. L. Homes, LLC, owner (Gowan Road at Shadow Peak Street) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 5' wide strip of landscaping on the north side of Gowan Road extending approximately 120' westward from Shadow Peak Street consisting of trees, shrubs, rocks, an irrigation system, and a meandering sidewalk to satisfy conditions of Z-33-97 and TM-09-01 for the proposed Sierra Crossings Phase 1 subdivision. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Gowan Road at Shadow Peak Street)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an amended Interlocal Agreement No. 136 with Clark County for construction of road improvements on Tenaya Way (Tropical Parkway to Azure Drive) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential and commercial driveways, sewer mains and laterals, and water mains and laterals in connection with the City's Capital Improvement Project along Tenaya Way. Clark County will reimburse the City through the sale of bonds in conjunction with the County's SID No. 136 Tenaya Way (Tropical Parkway to Azure Drive). An Amendment was required to increase Clark County's total cost participation associated with the Project.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve this Agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Amended Interlocal Agreement for Special Improvement District No. 136

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Lucchesi Galati Architect Inc. for the design services of Veterans Memorial Pool Cover Building located at 101 Pavilion Center Drive and Glenmoor Drive (\$128,025 - Parks Capital Improvement Funds) - Ward 2 (L. B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$128,025

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Engineering Integration

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Parks Capital Improvement Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Design and build a new air conditioned Pool Cover Building over a 50 meter heated pool and bathhouse located next to Palo Verde High School in the City of Las Vegas.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the negotiated Professional Services Agreement with Lucchesi Galati Architect Inc. for the design services of Veterans Memorial Pool Cover Building in the amount of \$128,025 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$25,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement For Architect/Consultant

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - R-84-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the Department of Public Works/City Engineer to prepare preliminary plans regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1484 - Alta Drive (Rancho Drive to Valley View Boulevard) (Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb, gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential driveways, landscaping, irrigation systems, and entry monumentation.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-84-2001

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED the Alternate Proposal for Alta Drive – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 35 and 36 is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 35.

APPEARANCES:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services Department

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC to draft a bill with hefty fines so that the Police Department can have a good tool to enforce the prohibition of using the bike lanes to bypass vehicles.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Consent – Resolutions

Item 35 – R-84-2001

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed staff to explore how far and how wide residents are willing to participate in landscape districts.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN instructed MR. GOECKE to explore not having the City be responsible for two years of the landscape maintenance on this SID, especially since the neighbors seem to be willing to pay for it, and to see how much money the residents are actually willing to contribute.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed MR. GOECKE to explore the proposed elements in a more traditional linear design rather than a serpentine design.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK directed MR. GOECKE to put together a priority list for street rehabilitation and requested the criteria for street rehab.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed MR. GOECKE to delineate the costs for the residents so that they clearly know their obligations.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN instructed MR. GOECKE to list on paper the costs allocated for the various parts of the project.

(9:33 – 10:04)

1-1026

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - R-85-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the Department of Public Works/City Engineer to prepare preliminary plans regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1485 - Alta Drive (Landscape Maintenance) (Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

To defray the annual maintenance costs of certain street beautification improvements located along Alta Drive from Rancho Drive to 275 feet west of Lacy Lane.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-85-2001

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED R-85-2001, with the understanding that the neighbors would be responsible for the cost of landscape maintenance – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 35 and 36 is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 35.

APPEARANCES:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services Department

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed MR. GOECKE to report to him on what part of Summerlin Parkway the City maintains.

NOTE: See related Item 35 for other directives.

(9:33 – 10:04)

1-1026

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-116-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Seventh Assessment Lien Apportionment Report re: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm sewer/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcels are located in The Vistas at Summerlin Village 20 Unit No. 3 .

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-116-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-117-2001 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Seventh Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcels are located in The Vistas at Summerlin Village 20 Unit No. 3 ..

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-117-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-118-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Eighth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report re: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm sewer/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Monterossa - Unit 1.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-118-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-119-2001 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Eighth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Monterossa - Unit 1.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-119-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-120-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Sixty-Fifth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 707 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm drainage improvements, and water main projects

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-120-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-121-2001 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Sixty-Fifth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 707 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm drainage improvements, and water main projects

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-121-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-122-2001 - Approval of a Resolution Determining the Cost and Directing the City Engineer to Prepare the Final Assessment Roll re: Special Improvement District No. 1482 - Gowan Road (Metro Park) from Hualapai Way to Jenson Street (\$65,331.88 - Levy Assessments) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$65,331.88

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalks, commercial driveway approach, sewer laterals, and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-122-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-123-2001 - Approval of a Resolution fixing the time and place when complaints, protests, and objections to the final assessment roll will be heard for Special Improvement District No. 1482 - Gowan Road (Metro Park) from Hualapai Way to Jensen Street (\$65,331.88 - Levy Assessments) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$65,331.88

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalks, commercial driveway approach, sewer laterals, and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-123-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – **UNANIMOUS**

Item 5: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Quitclaim Deed and Declaration of Value for 1.97 acres from the City of Las Vegas to the State of Nevada, acting by and through its Department of Transportation (NDOT) in conjunction with on/off tulip connector across Centennial Center Boulevard - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On July 12, 1999, a three-party agreement between NDOT, the City of Las Vegas and the Centennial Center Partnership was executed to ensure completion of Centennial Center Boulevard. Subsequently, NDOT has requested property that was previously dedicated to the City along US95 for access to the tulip connector. The City's engineering staff is in agreement that this should occur for the completion of this connector roadway to occur.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 10/1/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval to deed to NDOT, 1.97 acres of Right-of-Way and authorize the Mayor to sign the Quitclaim Deed and Declaration of Value

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Quitclaim Deed
2. Declaration of Value
3. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining due to his business relationship on a separate matter with one of the landowners who might benefit by this action

NOTE: The initial motion for approval by Reese taken on the Consent Agenda, which included Item #45, carried unanimously and was subsequently reconsidered only as to Item #45 upon a motion by Reese which also carried unanimously.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 4, 2001

Consent Agenda – Public Works

Item 45 – Approval of a Quitclaim Deed and Declaration of Value for 1.97 acres from the City of Las Vegas to the State of Nevada, acting by and through its Department of Transportation in conjunction with on/off tulip connector across Centennial Center Boulevard

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24/10:22)

1-685/1-3140

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Purchase Agreement between the City of Las Vegas (CLV) and KB HOME Nevada, Inc. (KB) for the purchase of Parcel Numbers 138-09-501-001 and -002, located on the southeast corner of Cimarron and Alexander Roads from the City of Las Vegas (\$450,000 revenue less associated closing costs - Parks Capital Improvement Projects) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: \$450,000 rev. - closing costs

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Parks C.I.P.

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On 7/5/01, authorization for staff to enter into negotiations for an unsolicited offer from KB was approved for the aboved listed parcels. These parcels were purchased by CLV in an effort to prevent commercial development in the area. KB has already purchased additional property along the corridor to create residential housing. The land has been vacant for decades and the lots are currently zoned U-Undeveloped Land District. Both parcels total approximately 4.6 acres.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 10/1/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Purchase Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) 2001-1 between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Clark County School District (CCSD) for use of the Bettye Wilson Soccer Complex located on the northwest corner of Lake Mead Boulevard and Tenaya Way - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City leases the land listed above, consisting of approximately 60 acres, from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). Approval of the MOU will allow the District to provide athletic services for Cimarron Memorial High School in conjunction with use by the Southern Nevada Soccer League and City sponsored events, while at the same time, protecting the conditions of the game fields. The District is responsible for providing the Leisure Services Department with game dates/times. The fields are to be utilized for soccer games/tournaments only.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 10/1/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. MOU
2. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of an Agreement for the Sale of Real Property between Priority One Commercial (on behalf of the City of Las Vegas) and BBC Trust, identified as Parcel Numbers 139-22-313-004 through 139-22-313-010 (seven vacant lots averaging 6,907 square feet), located on Gregory Street between Elliot and Alexander Avenues (\$140,000 revenue less associated closing costs - Parks CIP Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$140,000 rev. - closing costs

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Parks CIP Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On 1/17/01, Council approved marketing of the seven (7) parcels listed above. These are vacant parcels of land that the City has no current or future plans for. The land has been vacant for decades and has the potential to be alleviated and provide revitalization of the area. The lots are currently zoned R-3, Medium Density Residential and Apartment District, and each lot is an average size of 6,907 square feet.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 10/1/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement for the Sale of Real Property

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of an Easement and Rights-of-Way between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for the construction of water lines to service vacant land, known as Garehime Heights Park, located near Alexander and Campbell Roads, identified as Parcel Number 138-08-101-015 - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City is in the process of going out to bid for the construction of Garehime Heights Park. In order to have water service to the park, the City is required to grant an Easement and Rights-of-Way to LVVWD for construction of the water lines.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 10/1/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Easement and Rights-of-Way
2. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of Grant Deed from Help Them Walk Again as payment for the \$228,000 Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) deferred loan on the main building situated on APN 138-36-803-016 and Deed of Reconveyance for APN 138-36-803-015 (formerly APN 138-36-803-003) at 5300 West Charleston - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On 2/5/97 CLV entered into the HOME Agreement to Fund Help Them Walk Again Affordable Rental Housing Development ("Agreement"). The Agreement was to provide \$228,000 to purchase the unimproved land W. of the main building (APN 138-36-803-016) to construct 5 units for physically disabled persons. Help Them Walk Again ("Subrecipient") was unable to raise sufficient funds to develop the property as planned. Grant Deed from Subrecipient transfers ownership to CLV in repayment for the HOME loan. Deed reconveys the City's interest in the main building located on APN 138-36-803-015 (formerly APN 138-36-803-003) to Subrecipient.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 10/1/2001 Real Estate Committee and City Manager recommend approval of Grant Deed from Help Them Walk Again for APN 138-36-803-016 at 5300 West Charleston as payment for the \$228,000 HOME loan and authorize the Mayor to sign a Deed of Partial Reconveyance for APN 138-36-803-015

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Grant Deed
2. Deed of Partial Reconveyance
3. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3, 4, 6–16, 18-34, 37-44, and 46-50 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

Items 17, 35, 36 & 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 4, 2001

Consent Agenda – Neighborhood Services

Item 50 – Approval of Grant Deed from Help Them Walk Again as payment for the \$228,000 Home Investment Partnerships Program deferred loan on the main building situated on APN 138-36-803-016 and Deed of Reconveyance for APN 138-36-803-015

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-685

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report and possible action on fiscal impacts on the City from recent events

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The tragic events of September 11, 2001 have resulted in an increased economic uncertainty throughout the nation. This uncertainty is reflected in the local economy, and in revenues available to state and local governments. City staff will present ways in which the City has positioned, or may position itself to deal with limited-term revenue disruptions.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council accept the presentation and direct staff accordingly.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted after the meeting: written comments from Mr. McGowan

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended and requested that the Council be kept up-to-date – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS reported that during the last three weeks, the City has experienced significant economic and employment pains. Staff is certainly concerned about the layoffs throughout the Valley and the secondary impacts to the individuals that have been laid off and the businesses that may suffer as a result.

Staff has been closely monitoring some economic measures, which show very positive signs. For instance, hotel occupancy is up at 93%, compared to 67% the weekend after the event and 94% being the norm. Surely the room rates have been reduced, but it is still a positive sign that people are staying at the hotels. Airport traffic was reported at 95% over the last weekend, with Southwest Airlines overbooked on most of their flights. At the economic forum recently held, the airport advised that a big indicator of tourism is taxicab pick ups, which has been about 90% of normal. In an effort to attract more tourists, the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority recently announced a \$13 million advertising campaign. Also, Hobbs Ong and Associates, a local

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Administrative

Item 51 – Report and possible action on fiscal impacts on the City from recent events

MINUTES – Continued:

consulting company, put out financial predictions indicating hotel revenue loss of approximately \$4.5 million, a sales tax loss of about \$5 million, with the City's share being about \$1.5 million. However, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS personally felt that it might be a little higher during the two-week period following the 9/11/2001 incident, but recovery has been very strong.

In looking at indicators prior to the 9/11/2001 incident, it was found that building permits for July, August, and September were 28% above the previous year and sewer connection fees were up almost 20%. Franchise fees were also up about 19 percent; however, that was mostly due to increased utility rates.

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services Department, briefly discussed the City's economic-base projections as well as some of the measures that were already in place to handle the slowdown of the economy. At the May Budget Workshop the City took a very conservative approach for the current fiscal year because an economic slowdown was anticipated. Total revenue projection for the current year was only 4.9%. Tax revenue, about 52% of the City's total revenue, was forecast at a 3.6% growth in the current fiscal year, and that was before the 9/11/2001 incident. From an economic standpoint, City staff was already taking precautions and being conservative.

The question now is what impacts will the event of 9/11/2001 have on the City. Referring to a pie chart, which was not submitted, representing total revenue for the General Fund for Fiscal-Year 2002, MR. VINCENT explained that consolidated tax is the biggest revenue generator for the City, about 54%, with 44 percentage points of that being directly attributable to sales tax. Therefore, that will cause the biggest impact to the City's budget.

Using a graph, which was not submitted, MR. VINCENT indicated that the red bar indicates a possible impact on City revenue of \$2.7 million due to the terrorist events of 9/11/2001. This includes other revenues derived from other sources, not just sales tax. However, recovery seems to be fairly strong, so that scenario is unlikely. The green bar represents a loss of about \$5 million in tax revenue for the Valley, with \$1.5 million being the City's loss.

He assured the Council that staff would continue to be conservative with regard to revenues and maintaining the hiring freeze, which is anticipated to produce a savings of about 50% over the normal vacancy factor savings. In order to address additional concerns, he suggested the yearly fiscal augmentation be delayed for a period of time. In a worst-case scenario, the approximate \$30 million funded for capital improvement projects could be used as additional reserves. As an additional buffer, directors have also been requested to delay as many costs as possible that would not have an impact on daily business.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Administrative

Item 51 – Report and possible action on fiscal impacts on the City from recent events

MINUTES – Continued:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS referred to and read a report, which was not submitted, from Standard and Pores indicating that a number of tourist resorts have been or are due to be put on credit watch. Fortunately, Las Vegas is not one of those resorts.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD noted that since the early 90s the City of Las Vegas has taken a conservative budget approach, even when the State economic indicators say otherwise. She commended the Finance staff as well as the current City Council for their fiscal discipline.

TOM McGOWAN, 720 S. Casino Center Boulevard, #5, advised that he has repeatedly made his proposals available to the Council and indicated that community involvement is the key to resolving the economic slowdown. He suggested the Council members each take a 25% cut in pay and give it to the homeless.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, expressed concern about the employees that are still on layoff status, despite the comments that business is picking up. He felt that the people that have been laid off should receive assistance.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed MR. VINCENT to perform an analysis of the total cost and potential savings of a voluntary separation plan for those employees at the 20- and 25-year level of service.

NOTE: In preparation for an upcoming retreat, COUNCILMAN BROWN instructed that all departments prepare a summary list of the programs they are involved with that they are looking toward becoming more efficient and addressing issues such as labor costs. This would make it easier for the Council to know which department heads are going above and beyond with that directive.

There was no further discussion.

(10:04 – 10:21)

1-2245

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action on a proposed interlocal agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County to provide an extension of time to allow for the adoption of an interlocal agreement which would establish joint position on corporate boundaries, annexations, land use planning, transportation planning, parks and trails planning and urban services, and direct staff as deemed appropriate

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas requested Assembly Bill 179 which was passed during the 2001 Session of the Nevada Legislature. This legislation allows the City to begin annexing undeveloped portions of Clark County that are bounded on at least 75 percent of its external boundaries by the existing corporate boundaries of the City. Commonly known as "County Islands" - they are pockets of undeveloped land within the boundaries of the City, that in the interest of orderly planning and development, should be annexed into the City. This resolution would postpone the effective date of AB179 for a period not to exceed 45 days so that negotiations relating to an interlocal agreement between the City and Clark County to establish a joint position on Corporate Boundaries, Planning, Public Facilities/Service Provision and Future Annexations could be completed.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff will follow direction of the City Council.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County for providing an extension of time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to STRIKE Items 52 and 53 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 52 and 53 is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 52.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Administrative

Item 52 – Discussion and possible action on a proposed interlocal agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County to provide an extension of time to allow for the adoption of an interlocal agreement which would establish joint position on corporate boundaries, annexations, land use planning, transportation planning, parks and trails planning and urban services, and direct staff as deemed appropriate

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES:

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

(10:21 – 10:52)

1-3079/2-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action on a proposed interlocal agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County to provide for the adoption of an interlocal agreement which would establish a joint position on corporate boundaries, annexations, land use planning, transportation planning, parks and trails planning and urban services, and direct staff as deemed appropriate

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas and Clark County have identified a need to create a framework for future growth in the northwest portion of the Las Vegas Valley. This proposed interlocal agreement would establish joint positions on the corporate boundaries of the City which also includes annexation exceptions as identified by the County, as well as future annexation provisions. It would provide for joint land use planning, allowing both entities to work together to develop a seamless land use and development plan. Other items included in the interlocal agreement are provisions related to development review, zoning consistency, joint transportation planning, joint parks and trails planning, sewer service provisions, and fire services.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff will follow direction of the City Council.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to STRIKE Items 52 and 53 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 52 and 53 is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 52.

APPEARANCES:

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

(10:21 – 10:52)

1-3079/2-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding the Conceptual Design Drawings of a parking garage completed by Walker Parking Consultants on the Bank of America property at 624 S. 4th Street - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In June 2001, the Office of Business Development commissioned Walker Parking Consultants to do conceptual design drawings for the construction of a parking garage on the Bank of America property at 624 S. 4th Street. The study was commissioned in an effort to meet the parking needs created by the construction of the Regional Justice Center. This property was conveyed to Office District Parking I, Inc. prior to close of escrow.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends City Council approval and acceptance of the conceptual design drawings for a possible parking garage on the Bank of America property at 624 S. 4th Street and direct staff accordingly.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED Plan B, with a direction that MS. CODER and her staff work on making sure that the MORANS' property is not negatively impacted – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining to avoid any conflict because he owns property in the vicinity of Casino Center and Bonnevile that may be impacted

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript of Item 54 is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development

JOHN REDLEIN, Assistant City Attorney

JOHN T. MORAN, JR., Moran and Associates

MARILYN MORAN

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Business Development

Item 54 – Discussion and possible action regarding the conceptual design drawings of a parking garage completed by Walker Parking Consultants on the Bank of America property at 624 S. 4th Street

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed MS. CODER to bring the matter back before the Council to be reviewed and considered when a resolution is reached.

(10:52 – 11:16)

2-653

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: LESA CODER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action to authorize the City Manager to select and contract with a consultant to provide services in identifying and securing funding for renovation, restoration and maintenance of the Federal Building and Post Office located at 301 E. Stewart Avenue (APN# 139-34-501-002) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Federal Building and Post Office (FB-PO) has been determined surplus Government property by the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA). Public bodies may request for the transfer of surplus Government property at a 100% discount of fair market value from the GSA through the U.S. Dept. of the Interior National Park Service (DOI-NPS) Historic Surplus Property Program. The consultant will be contracted for a period of 1 year to identify and secure funding that will supplement total funds required for renovation, restoration and maintenance of the FB-PO.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Application for Federal Surplus Property for Historic Monument Purposes
- 3.. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – STRIKE – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, reminded MAYOR GOODMAN that the Council's intent was to hold the matter indefinitely.

RUTH BRULAND, Executive Director, M.A.S.H. Village, read a news release indicating that M.A.S.H. would not be pursuing the acquisition of the Post Office site at Stewart Avenue. MAYOR GOODMAN expressed his appreciation.

There was no further discussion.

(11:16 – 11:18)

2-1512

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Michelle Victoria Alvarez, 6812 White Shell Circle, Las Vegas, NV 89108

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 56 and 57 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:22)

1- 642

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Jim Crampton, 3785 Monument, Las Vegas, NV 89121

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 56 and 57 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:22)

1- 642

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: DETENTION AND ENFORCEMENT**DIRECTOR: MICHAEL SHELDON**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to augment the Detention and Enforcement Budget to provide for the hiring of additional corrections staff necessary to adequately supervise 600 additional inmates (\$3,574,909 - General Fund-Detention Bed Rental Program) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$3,574,909☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Detention and Enforcement☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund-Bed Rental Program**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On April 30, 2002 the 600 jail bed rental contract with Clark County expires, giving our agency the opportunity to expand our current bed rental program and consolidate our entire jail operations at the Stewart and Mojave Center. National and regional federal inmate incarceration statistics indicate incarceration rates are still on the rise. Since our agency entered into bed rental agreements with the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service and the U.S. Marshals' office in 1998, our federal inmate population has more than doubled. Detention and Enforcement anticipates renting a minimum of 360 of the 600 available jail beds which will cover the \$3.5 million in staffing costs and will net the City an additional \$3 million in General Fund revenue. It is now incumbent upon the City of Las Vegas Detention Services to provide necessary staffing to adequately supervise these 600 beds.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Detention and Enforcement Staffing Proposal

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MIKE SHELDON, Director, Detention and Enforcement, indicated that the City contracted with the County five years ago for 600 jail beds. That contract ends in April of 2002 and the County expects to have plenty of jail beds at their new jail when it is fully constructed. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Officers currently supervise the 600 City jail beds; however, the City needs to be prepared to supervise the added 600 jail beds when the County transfers its inmates to the new jail.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Detention and Enforcement

Item 58 – Discussion and possible action to augment the Detention and Enforcement Budget to provide for the hiring of additional corrections staff necessary to adequately supervise 600 additional inmates

MINUTES – Continued:

The goal of Detention is to effectively utilize those additional beds and make them available to Immigration and Naturalization Service, the US Marshal office, and the County. One hundred and fifty beds are already needed for increased City inmate population. Rental of an additional one hundred and eighty beds would pay for any staff costs and anything above that would go in the general fund. He requested the Council's approval in order to begin the process of hiring additional staff. The departments of Human Resources and Finance have already committed to assist him as much as possible to meet the short timeframe.

MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed with MR. SHELDON that the City could profit approximately \$3 million a year from this venture, assuming everything goes as planned. MR. SHELDON interjected that there is a potential for additional revenues, but he would rather project on the conservative side.

TOMMY RICKETTS, President, City Employees Association, stressed that a hiring freeze is in place and questioned whether a bond issue would be necessary to hire corrections staff since it is a public safety issue. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE clarified that the purpose of the hiring freeze is to reduce costs, whereas the additional positions would generate revenue.

COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that the additional corrections staff would be hired with the understanding that they would be terminated if the projected revenue is not made to pay for the costs. VIRGINIA CITY MANAGER VALENTINE interjected that if the jail beds were to remain empty, the City could lose revenue. MR. SHELDON assured the Council that all of these hires would be notified in writing that their jobs are contingent on the success of the program.

There was no further discussion.

(11:18 – 11:24)

2-1618

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, ARG Enterprises, Inc., dba Stuart Anderson's Black Angus, 2025 Village Center Circle, Ralph S. Roberts, Dir, Pres, COO, Patrick J. Kelvie, Dir, VP, Secy, Treas - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Ann Pierce
3. Map

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner, and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared representing the applicant and expressed his appreciation to MR. DiFIORE for his assistance in this matter.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, advised that there is no derogatory information to report on the applicant; therefore, he recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(11:24 – 11:25)

2-1843

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots and Approval to Participate in Revenue subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, E-T-T, Inc., db at Texaco Star Mart, 9991 West Charleston Blvd., Mixed Nuts Hospitality Group, LLC, Participant in Gaming Revenue, William R. Phillips, Mmbr, Mgr, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots and Approval to Participate in Revenue

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission and Mixed Nuts Hospitality Group, LLC obtaining a Slot Operator Gaming License from the State of Nevada and the City of Las Vegas within 1 year of approval of operation at 9991 West Charleston Blvd.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended with a one-year (10/2/2002) review– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

VIRGINIA DANIEL, E-T-T, Inc., and ATTORNEY SONIA VERMEYS, Schreck, Brignone, and Godfrey, on behalf of Mixed Nuts Hospitality Group, were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, commented that this is an unusual situation and explained that Texaco Star Mart is licensed and operated by Equinox, and E-T-T, Inc., is the slot operator. Equinox would like to enter into a space lease agreement with Mixed Nuts Hospitality Group for the placement of slots. Mixed Nuts Hospitality Group is now going to enter into a slot operator lease agreement for the seven slots and participate in the arrangement. Mixed Nuts is expected to appear before the Nevada Gaming Commission in about one year for a slot operator license. However, this arrangement has already been approved by the Nevada Gaming Commission. He recommended approval with a one-year review.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 60 – Discussion and possible action regarding a new slot operator space lease location restricted gaming license for seven slots and approval to participate in revenue subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, E-T-T, Inc., db at Texaco Star Mart, 9991 West Charleston Boulevard, Mixed Nuts Hospitality Group, LLC, Participant in Gaming Revenue

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(11:25 – 11:27)

2-1889

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Road, Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kay L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas, (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #123 - Special Use Permit #U-0031-00**) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 101 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 102 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 116 [V-0053-01], Item 117 [Z-0007-61(2)], Item 123 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [Abeyance Item – New Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 62 [Abeyance Item – New Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] and Item 138 [GPA-0033-99] to 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(3:26 – 3:30)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Cardivan Company, db at Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Road, **(NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #123 - Special Use Permit #U-0031-00) - Ward 6 (Mack)**

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 101 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 102 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 116 [V-0053-01], Item 117 [Z-0007-61(2)], Item 123 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [Abeyance Item – New Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 62 [Abeyance Item – New Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] and Item 138 [GPA-0033-99] to 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(3:26 – 3:30)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE & BUSINESS**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to re-allocate funds and award Bid Number 01.15301.20-LED, Northwest Family Golf Course & Park and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: Lowest responsive, responsible bidder (Park Range: \$2,480,000 - \$3,100,000; Golf Course Range: \$5,600,000 - \$8,100,000 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$8,080,000 - \$11,200,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Work consists of construction of a 18-hole 78 acre golf course with parking, maintenance building, cart storage building, pump house and a 9.8 acre park with parking, restroom, roller hockey, skate park, basketball, tot lots, park structures and jogging path. Also, includes an additive alternate item for the construction of a golf clubhouse. The project location is the SW corner of Gowan Rd. and Durango Dr. Funding distribution for this project is \$2,480,000 - \$3,100,000 for park construction and \$5,600,000 - \$8,100,000 for golf course construction and a 10% conflicts & contingency reserve.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.15301.20-LED, Northwest Family Golf Course & Park, to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder in the monetary range of \$8,080,000 - \$11,200,000 and approve a construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:**BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, indicated that before the bid can be awarded, resolution on a funding issue is needed. The low bid was \$12,013,000, which is higher than available funding for the construction of the park as well as for any conflicts and contingencies of about \$12,345,000. He suggested a transfer of \$550,000 from the Children's Memorial Park project, which was completed and no longer needs the funding, to this project to provide an adequate reserve of about 7.3% and award to the low bidder.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Finance and Business Services
Item 63 – Bid Number 01.15301.20-LED

MINUTES – Continued:

The other issue is that the low bidder, Target General, has requested substituting a subcontractor. NRS 348.141 allows for the substitution given certain criteria. Staff has not had an opportunity to review that request; therefore, MR. VINCENT requested approval of the transfer of funds and the low bidder, contingent upon review and acceptance of the requested substitute contractor by the Purchasing and Contracts Division.

COUNCILMAN MACK questioned why he was not briefed on the transfer of funds from Ward 6 park projects. MR. VINCENT answered that the direction from Council has been that once a park is complete the remaining funds go back into the reserve, to be used for the next priority project.

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that he shares the vision for this park and that Ward 6 children will benefit from it. COUNCILMAN BROWN thanked him for his support.

COUNCILMAN BROWN commented that it is going to become harder to fund parks because of the increasing cost.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK directed MR. VINCENT to provide him with briefings on any future remaining funds, because there are park rehabilitation needs in Ward 6.

There was no further discussion.

(11:27 – 11:35)
2-1985

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding negotiation of a contract for the Operation and Management of the Northwest Family Golf Course, Request for Proposal No. 010070-LR - Finance & Business Services - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** Unknown at this time☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance and Business Services☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A Request for Proposal was issued for the Operation and Management (O&M) of the Northwest Family Golf Course to be constructed at Durango/Gowan/Cheyenne Roads. The City received three proposals which were reviewed and evaluated, with oral presentations by firms during the week of August 6, 2001. The proposal evaluation team, consisting of members from the departments of Field Operations, Public Works, Leisure Services, Finance and Business Services, as well as the Boulder City Golf Course Manager, unanimously selected Evergreen Alliance Golf Limited for negotiation of the O&M contract.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council give staff approval to negotiate a potential O&M contract with Evergreen Alliance Golf Limited.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: a document titled Northwest Family Golf Course Operations and Maintenance Source Selection by Mr. Vincent

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion directing staff to negotiate with the Walters Group on a contract for the operation and management of the Northwest Family Golf Course and to report back to the Council – carried UNANIMOUSLY

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he represented MR. WALTERS about 16 years ago; however, there is no continuing business relationship. And although they are friends, MAYOR GOODMAN did not feel that would affect his ability to vote. ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN advised that if MR. WALTERS no longer considers MAYOR GOODMAN his attorney, at least for the past two years, and MAYOR GOODMAN feels that he can disagree with MR. WALTERS on certain matters, then he could participate and vote on the matter.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 64 – Discussion and possible action regarding negotiation of a contract for the Operation and Management of the Northwest Family Golf Course, Request for Proposal No. 010070-LR

MINUTES - Continued:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, explained that the Request for Proposal (RFP) was put out last spring to evaluate criteria submitted for an operator. The contract for the operations of this golf course is different because the golf course will be situated on Bureau of Land Management property. Therefore, staff could not be as creative as desired with the operating agreement and will be more of a cost reimbursable contract with a flat fee.

He listed the members that made up the evaluation team (DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS, JOHN BLACK, Parks and Open Spaces, ROY NELSON, Leisure Services, DAVID ROARK, Real Estate and Asset Management Division, CANDACE FALDER, Finance and Business Services, PAT BATTE, Architectural Services, GREG HERLEAN and LYNN RUSSELL, Purchasing and Contracts, and BOB CONRAD, Boulder City Golf Course Manager) and reviewed some of the criteria evaluated in determining a qualified operator: key personnel, experience with both desert landscaping and reclaimed water, safety plans, cost control strategies, willingness to assume any potential risks, performance standards, incentives, overall management plan, and problem solving. He noted that willful risk assumption was very important to the City because the golf course is designed to be a local family/community golf course.

BILL WALTERS, Walters Group, 45 Ennis Brook, thanked the City Council and staff for the opportunity to respond to the RFP, especially with the difficulty of it. He gave a brief history of where and how he got started in the golf business. He started in the business of golf in 1986 in New Mexico, where he acquired property that was poorly managed by an entity that had gone into bankruptcy and made that property successful. He also acquired property in Chicago, through the same process, and in various other parts of the country and made them viable entities.

But Walters Golf started in Nevada in 1996 through a collective decision to become the biggest and best golf operator in Nevada. His first entry into the Las Vegas golf market came about through a partnership with the City of Las Vegas where he was required to assume all the financial risks, make the improvements, and run the facility. As a result, Desert Pines stands as a testament of the commitment Walters Golf made to the City five years ago. Since that business venture with the City, he acquired the Sunrise Country Club and made it successful, and then developed the Royal Lakes and the Bali Hai Golf Club. He noted that much of the success of his company in Las Vegas has been because of its tenure in the Valley and its knowledge of the local soil.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 64 – Discussion and possible action regarding negotiation of a contract for the Operation and Management of the Northwest Family Golf Course, Request for Proposal No. 010070-LR

MINUTES - Continued:

Through the Walters Group's business relationships, very strong national and local equipment and supplies contracts have been negotiated with savings that will be passed on to the City.

MR. WALTERS assured the Council members that if they choose his company, they will always be able to contact him and speak with him personally about any concerns that may come up. He then gave a brief overview on the expertise of his following staff members: MIKE LEWIS, President, JOE MUNCH, Director of Golf Operations, JOE KELLEY, Vice President of Golf Operations, STAN RAFER, Certified Golf Superintendent, and MITCH EPSTEIN, Food and Beverage Operations.

Finally, MR. WALTERS noted that the RFP required the individual bidders' projections for ten years, which was very difficult because nobody knows what the future will bring. However, the Walters Group did its best to put together a conservative ten-year pro forma in order to be able to perform as indicated in the RFP.

TODD WATSON, representing Evergreen Alliance Golf Limited, indicated that Evergreen Alliance has 40 golf courses around the country, with 16 of those being municipal projects, and it has long desired to enter the Las Vegas golf market. With a clear understanding of the market for which the Northwest Family Golf Course is designed, he assured the Council that Evergreen Alliance Golf Limited, with its quality experience, will offer reasonable rates.

He noted that Evergreen Alliance has a great deal of experience with desert-style golf courses throughout the country as well as with the use of reclaimed water. DENNIS WESSELDIME has been a resident of Las Vegas for over 15 years, has been involved in the development of several golf courses in the Valley, and works in the Evergreen Construction Department in Las Vegas. Evergreen Alliance currently manages two 18-hole Arrow Creek Country Clubs in Reno and is further negotiating with the City of Henderson on a proposed golf course due to the extenuating site conditions.

During its 17 years of experience, Evergreen Alliance has built over 20 golf courses around the country for both direct ownership and for third parties. Therefore, Evergreen Alliance has full knowledge of money management and fiduciary responsibilities. Like the Walters Group, Evergreen Alliance has extensive resources in various aspects of golf course management. He indicated that GUY OXER, Chief Operating Officer, is actively involved in overseeing all of Evergreen's golf course relationships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 64 – Discussion and possible action regarding negotiation of a contract for the Operation and Management of the Northwest Family Golf Course, Request for Proposal No. 010070-LR

MINUTES - Continued:

In closing, MR. WATSON indicated that Evergreen Alliance realizes that community is their main focus and that it wins only when the golf course and the municipality wins. He thanked the Council for the opportunity to make the presentation and stated that he is looking forward to the opportunity to work closely with the City of Las Vegas.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD questioned the reason for the dispute with the City of Henderson on the award of the RFP for Evergreen Alliance to operate the gold course. MR. WATSON explained that on 9/25/2000 Evergreen Alliance was awarded an RFP to build a golf course at Whitney Ranch. Unlike this situation, the entity awarded the RFP would have to invest the money in the golf course and take the risk. Evergreen Alliance from the beginning indicated in the RFP that it would conduct due diligence on the property if selected. Due to the site conditions, the construction costs had to be amended from what was initially underwritten. However, the rates or times that were proposed for Henderson residents did not change.

MR. WESSELDIME commented that he has been a Las Vegas resident for 16 years and in the golf industry for 21 years. Two years ago he left one of the largest golf management companies to work for Evergreen Alliance because Evergreen gave him the opportunity to work in golf construction, which is his passion, and stay in Las Vegas. When he heard about this project, he became very excited because it will be located near his home where his family can play golf. He would be very proud to be a part of the Northwest Family Golf Course.

TOMMY RICKETTS, CEA President, reminded the Council of the subsidy the YMCA requested in order to help pay its power bills, as well as of the opposition the City employees expressed about that.

GREG HERLEAN, Manager, Purchasing and Contracts Division, reviewed the selection summary and stated that a cost reimbursement contract is very risky for the owner. Consequently, the source selection team was looking very stringently at cost reports and management of those costs because the kind of revenue or costs the City will incur will depend greatly on the management of the course. The selection team found that Evergreen's management fee will be contingent upon them meeting the operating costs and the proposal is based on zero-based budgeting and working with the City to resolve costs, if there are any disagreements. Evergreen Alliance brings a lot of municipal experience and met the framework of the RFP with regard to a ten-year projection.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 64 – Discussion and possible action regarding negotiation of a contract for the Operation and Management of the Northwest Family Golf Course, Request for Proposal No. 010070-LR

MINUTES - Continued:

As far as the Henderson Golf Course, MR. HERLEAN advised that he contacted the project manager, JOHN RINALDI, who indicated that he was very satisfied with Evergreen Alliance and that they have been very responsive. He noted that the selection team unanimously chose Evergreen Alliance.

COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that it has never been the intent of the City to subsidize the subject golf course. The three main components that are going to set the fees are debt repayment on the bonds, contract management fee, and capital reserves for continual maintenance and upgrades of the course.

In reviewing the criteria that MR. VINCENT presented, COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he has no doubt that both the Walters Group and Evergreen Alliance are well qualified to successfully manage the Northwest Family Golf Course. However, the factor that mainly influenced his decision is that this course has to be a true local golf course and the management company has to have frequent interaction with the City. After careful review of two years of documentation, he opted to authorize staff to negotiate with the Walters Group because of the experience it has locally.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed MR. VINCENT to redirect any money left over on this project to the Municipal Golf Course, which is in desperate need of repairs and upgraded landscaping.

There was no further discussion.

(11:35 – 12:15)

2-2345/3-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing, discussion and possible action on the adoption of qualification criteria for City of Las Vegas public work projects pertaining to buildings, parks, and other non-right-of-way construction projects exceeding \$100,000 - Department of Finance & Business Services - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance & Business Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

NRS 338 permits local governments to qualify bidders for public work (PW) projects. The City wishes to qualify bidders for PW projects pertaining to buildings, parks, and other non-right-of-way construction projects exceeding \$100,000. NRS 338 stipulates that before adopting qualification criteria, a governing body shall conduct a workshop on the proposed qualification plan and process and a public hearing to solicit comments on the plan and process. The workshop was held Sept. 21, 2001 to present the proposed qualification plan. This public hearing is to facilitate any additional input.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council conduct the public hearing and approve the Qualification Plan and Application.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. City of Las Vegas Qualification Plan
 2. City of Las Vegas Qualification Application
- Submitted at the meeting: Qualification Plan dated 10/1/2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GREG HERLEAN, Manager, Purchasing and Contracts Division, reported that the Qualification Plan was done on the Stewart Garage Complex and is something that Public Works and Purchasing and Contracts have evaluated for construction of vertical buildings, non-right-of-way, and parks projects. The Clark County School District instituted the plan two years ago and has

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 65 – Public hearing, discussion and possible action on the adoption of qualification criteria for City of Las Vegas public work projects pertaining to buildings, parks, and other non-right-of-way construction projects exceeding \$100,000

MINUTES – Continued:

been instrumental in disqualifying general contractors that do not comply with the disqualification guidelines set by the legislature. He noted that a workshop was held on Friday, September 21, 2001, with about 40 attendees, whose comments were considered in preparing the Qualification Plan. Staff believes that implementation of the Plan will raise the level of quality of contractors that perform Public Works contracts.

LORI ASHTON, Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters, commended City staff and the Council for the two-year process of putting together the Plan. She felt that the process is very necessary for all municipalities. The Council will find that the Plan will greatly simplify any potential litigation. She concurred with staff and the Qualification Plan.

WARREN HARDY, Associated Builders and Contractors of Southern Nevada, 5828 Spring Mountain Road, also commended staff for their efforts toward the Qualification Plan. He indicated that the majority of the concerns of the Associated Builders have been addressed and they are in support of the Plan. However, they want to make sure that the Plan is applied equally and fairly.

Another concern that he pointed out is the added verbiage relating to settlements under III-B of the Qualification Plan because it might lead to a presumption of guilt, even though many times people enter into settlements for business reasons. Overall, MR. HARDY felt that the Qualification Plan is a good document and creates a level playing field.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, stated that he has full faith and confidence in the capability of the public and private sector to handle this matter expeditiously.

DAVID KIRCH, Carpenters Contractors Corporation Committee, and JIMMY SILO, Nevada Carpenters, 501 N. Lamb Boulevard, concurred with the recommendations of staff and felt that it is a good document that guarantees responsible contractors on Public Works projects.

MR. SILO stated that when a similar plan was approved at the School District all of the industry partners were brought together. He noted that he would like the Plan expanded even more, but the compromise at the legislature is fair and a good place to start. Also, it does not permanently disqualify anyone. He was glad to see the issues of subcontractors and settlements remain in the document, especially because settlements will no longer be confidential.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 65 – Public hearing, discussion and possible action on the adoption of qualification criteria for City of Las Vegas public work projects pertaining to buildings, parks, and other non-right-of-way construction projects exceeding \$100,000

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked MR. HERLEAN if and how this might affect the Library District, because there was a misunderstanding that the City or County oversees construction for the Library District. However, since COUNCILMEN REESE and MACK are looking to build libraries in their wards, the Library District should also be included so that everyone can be on the same page with regard to construction projects. MR. HERLEAN replied that each individual governing body has to adopt the qualification procedures. He would be happy to forward the City's Plan to any interested entity who can modify it accordingly. MR. SILO interjected that he intends to pursue the Library District to implement a plan.

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked staff for bringing this to fruition and stated that it is a very important effort in order to have quality projects completed timely and cost-efficiently.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

There was no further discussion.

(12:15 – 12:29)

3-463

RECESS: 12:29 P.M. TO 1:00 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE**DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on the 2001 All-Hazard Emergency Operations Plan for the City of Las Vegas - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The 2001 All-Hazard Emergency Operations Plan replaces the previous plan dated May of 1996. This revision was developed through the collaborative efforts of all city departments, neighboring jurisdictions, private, and volunteer organizations. The plan identifies the responsibilities of each department and allied agencies before, during, and after a major emergency or disaster, as well as various state and federal financial resources that are available for recovery and mitigation activities. The revised plan was reviewed and approved by the Management Committee for Emergency Operations on September 26, 2001, and is recommended for adoption by the City Manager.

RECOMMENDATION:

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue recommends approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

2001 All-Hazard Emergency Operations Plan

Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint presentation used by Mr. McAndrew

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CHIEF DAVID WASHINGTON, Las Vegas Fire and Rescue Department, assured the Council and the public that Las Vegas Fire and Rescue is ready and capable to respond to any issues that may arise. Fire Department personnel have been making appearances to groups that have questions on emergency procedures, and he gave the telephone number (383-2888) so that any other interested groups could make contact if desired. He then introduced TIM McANDREW, Emergency Manager, who would provide a briefing on the All-Hazard Emergency Operations Plan, which has been in place but was enhanced at the direction of Administration.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Fire & Rescue

Item 66 – Discussion and possible action on the 2001 All-Hazard Emergency Operations Plan for the City of Las Vegas

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE stressed that it is very important that the Mayor be identified in the Plan as the person-in-command in the case of a disaster within the City of Las Vegas. CHIEF WASHINGTON agreed with COUNCILMAN REESE and indicated that MR. McANDREW would address that in his presentation.

MR. McANDREW pointed out that emergency management, which in general involves planning, responding to, and recovery from large-scale disasters, is a collaborative effort that requires cooperation from various local allied government agencies and private and volunteer organizations.

Using a PowerPoint presentation, MR. McANDREWS reviewed the Emergency Management Plan and gave a detailed overview of the five steps taken, from comparative analysis to approval and adoption, to implement an emergency management plan.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that it would be helpful to have representatives attend neighborhood meetings to answer any concerns of the public so that they are well informed, because since the 9/11/2001 incident people are very afraid, thus making rash decisions. CHIEF WASHINGTON reassured the Council that he would make his staff available to give presentations to any group that contacts the Fire Department.

AL GALLEG0 questioned who is in charge during the weekend and how could that individual be contacted. COUNCILMAN McDONALD answered that the Mayor is in charge. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that appropriate personnel can reach him at any time and that a backup order is in place in case of his absence. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that due to the New Millennium fears all the Council members were issued pagers, which they still possess, to keep them informed of all incidents within the City.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, commented that he has absolute faith in all fire departments, especially in the local Fire & Rescue. He noted that aside from the Las Vegas Strip there are at least 24 terrorist targets in Southern Nevada.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that the media has been very irresponsible in some of the written articles about Las Vegas and they have been detrimental to the local economy. However, when he asked the media if in fact Las Vegas was officially advised about any facts leading to any terrorist activity locally, he was told absolutely not.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Fire & Rescue

Item 66 – Discussion and possible action on the 2001 All-Hazard Emergency Operations Plan for the City of Las Vegas

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked what type of communication would presently be used to alert the public of an emergency. CHIEF WASHINGTON answered that the radio and TV are used and that there are also radio systems that can be purchased. COUNCILMAN McDONALD questioned whether civil defense sirens are still used to alert the public. MR. McANDREW indicated that those were discontinued and replaced with a higher degree of technology that sends emergency messages through all television stations. The newest technology that was recently approved is a system where the emergency personnel can call back numbers.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD expressed his confidence in the Fire Department's and City's preparedness and ability to respond to a large incident.

(1:00 – 1:17)

3-967

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Cheyenne Avenue and Jensen Street - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On July 11, 2001, at the monthly Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission meeting, the park naming sub-committee reported the recommendation of Police Memorial Park for the park site adjacent to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Training Center known as Metro Park site located at Cheyenne Avenue and Jensen Street. The vote by the Board was unanimous to recommend the name to City Council

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends City Council approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

BILLY BASTIAN, Leisure Services, appeared on behalf of DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services, to indicate Leisure Services' concurrence with the recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission of Police Memorial Park as the name for the subject site.

COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that on 10/4/2001 at 5:30 p.m. the City, in conjunction with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, would be holding a dedication. He invited everybody to attend and expressed his support of the recommended name. MAYOR GOODMAN interjected that the services for fallen police officers he has witnessed have been very dignified and are quite an experience to share.

There was no further discussion.

(1:17 – 1:18)
3-1590

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding request from Clark County to raise \$562,949 to provide nine months of basic, emergency shelter for 250 homeless men through the MASH Village tent structure, including a requested \$191,403 from the City of Las Vegas - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$191,403☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On November 23, 1998, City Council approved the use of General Fund monies in the amount of \$40,000 to assist S.V.D.P. Management, Inc. in providing 3 months of temporary winter shelter at the MASH Village site, with the understanding that the City would support the temporary shelter for three successive winters or through March of 2001. Due in part to reconstruction activity at the St. Vincent Plaza operated by Catholic Charities, there is an increasing number of men sleeping on the street near the St. Vincent Plaza. Clark County has requested \$191,403 from the City to address this issue.

RECOMMENDATION:

None

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
 2. Clark County Board of Commissioners Agenda Item No. 154
- Submitted at the meeting: written comments from Mr. McGowan

MOTION:

REESE – STRIKE – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because he sits on the Advisory Board for M.A.S.H. Village

MINUTES:

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services Department, reported that there has not been any change since the matter was last discussed. The City of Las Vegas stands behind its financial commitment of over \$50,000 toward the M.A.S.H. Village tent for this winter. Other than Clark County and Boulder City, no other local municipality has considered the subject matter. According to the calculations, there is still a shortage of \$95,000 to operate the tent for six months.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 68 – Discussion and possible action regarding request from Clark County to raise \$562,949 to provide nine months of basic, emergency shelter for 250 homeless men through the M.A.S.H. Village tent structure, including a requested \$191,403 from the City of Las Vegas

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN asked RUTH BRULAND, Executive Director, M.A.S.H. Village, if M.A.S.H. is in a position to open. MS. BRULAND clarified that the County changed the terms of the \$100,000 funding, so M.A.S.H. would be able to bill for a monthly increment of about \$16,777 a month. Therefore, M.A.S.H. could try to open on October 15, but it might be closer to October 18, if funding were received from the City of Las Vegas.

As was indicated at a previous Council meeting, MS. BRULAND commented that M.A.S.H. has resolved not to open until full funding for six months is secured because it might otherwise have to tip into other funds and run in the red. If the tent were opened for three months with current potential funding, it would have to be shut down about the time the weather gets worse. She indicated if M.A.S.H. receives another \$100,000 in addition to the \$50,000 from the City, the tent could operate for six months until June, pending permits.

MAYOR GOODMAN stressed that the City has received increased requests for money from many entities due to the layoffs resulting from the 9/11/2001 terrorist incidents. These are very tough times, and the City has to be very careful in its allocations of funds. Even though some local private sector companies have responded to the plea to help the homeless, there is not enough money. Unfortunately, the City has the problem of the homeless, but the County has the money and will not give more.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY questioned the expending by M.A.S.H. of the \$300,000 grant it received from the Southern Nevada Workforce Investment. MS. BRULAND answered that those funds would be used purely for work readiness and employment, as well as a case manager who will follow the clients to the worksites. Those funds would not be expended on the tent, unless any of the men in the tent would be seeking employment and qualify under the conditions of that grant. None of that money can be used to get the tent up and running.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked if there are any requirements that the homeless men have to fulfill before being accepted. MS. BRULAND stated that the tent is an emergency shelter in its purest form and there are no requirements of the men, as long as they do not cause any problems.

PATRICIA MARTINELLI-PRICE, 3212 Red Rock Street, stated that the lack of assistance from the local community to the homeless is a shame. She recently traveled to Cuba and witnessed that there are no homeless people there. The terrorist incidents that recently occurred are very

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 68 – Discussion and possible action regarding request from Clark County to raise \$562,949 to provide nine months of basic, emergency shelter for 250 homeless men through the M.A.S.H. Village tent structure, including a requested \$191,403 from the City of Las Vegas

MINUTES – Continued:

unfortunate, but everybody is helping the people directly affected in New York, Washington, D.C., and Philadelphia and doing nothing for the local homeless community, especially for the ones who deserve it the most, such as the veterans. She noted that charity begins at home and warned that she is going to file a federal lawsuit against every municipality.

MS. SEGERBLOM interjected that the City has not turned its back on the homeless; in fact, it has contributed over \$12 million in the past five years towards this issue. She also noted that the emergency tent is for single men only and not for women and children.

BROTHER DAVID BAUER indicated that according to the agenda item before Clark County an additional amount of \$118,000 would be contributed for the seventh and eighth months once funding has been obtained for the first six months. Then, once Catholic Charities reopens, the majority of the people can be served in a humane manner. He then read a statement from GUS RAMOS, who organized the Annual Homeless Stand Down, thanking all those involved with addressing the homeless issues in Southern Nevada and urging funding for the M.A.S.H. tent. BROTHER BAUER pleaded with the Council to assist with the opening of the tent and assured that he would meet with representatives from other agencies to try to obtain funding.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, read and submitted his written comments stating that he has repeatedly offered his assistance, to no avail, in addressing the issues of homelessness and air travel security. He also requested that his comments be included in the minutes of this meeting and all public meetings of the City of Las Vegas.

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19th Street, stated that the County is responsible for assisting the homeless. MAYOR GOODMAN agreed with MR. FARLOW that it is not the City's financial responsibility.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER requested the matter be studied because there are many complex issues involved.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked if the men using the tent are physically capable to perform work in exchange for access to the tent. MS. BRULAND answered that some are capable but some are infirmed. COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that there are many homeless that are able bodied that do not want to work and take advantage. He asked if M.A.S.H. Village keeps track of the people that are in true need of help. MS. BRULAND stated that M.A.S.H. Village could look into adding such criteria, but it is not currently in place.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 68 – Discussion and possible action regarding request from Clark County to raise \$562,949 to provide nine months of basic, emergency shelter for 250 homeless men through the M.A.S.H. Village tent structure, including a requested \$191,403 from the City of Las Vegas

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN said that the members of the City Council do not want to be cruel and feel for the homeless, but at the same time they have taken the position that the homeless situation has to be handled differently so that it does not continue as usual and escalate. MS. BRULAND stated that she understands perfectly.

In response to the Mayor, MS. MARTINELLI-PRICE indicated that the children and women are homeless because Shade Tree, for emergency shelter, has a 30-day limitation. She feels that it should be extended 60 to 90 days because it takes several months to obtain public assistance, affordable housing, and jobs. She offered to find volunteers to clean whatever areas the City wants cleaned in exchange for assisting the homeless. MAYOR GOODMAN pointed out that the emergency tent is only for men, so the children and women would still be left out on the street. That would not solve anything. MS. MARTINELLI-PRICE suggested a committee be set up to oversee all monies for the homeless.

COUNCILMAN REESE made the motion to hold the matter in abeyance for two weeks. He remarked that the City has taken the vanguard on this issue, but it is important that the public understand that the City is already facing adverse financial impacts due to the events of 9/11/2001 and has to spend its money wisely. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD suggested the matter be stricken and not bring it back continually for the same discussion. The City Council also needs to decide whether the City is going to contribute. MS. MARTINELLI-PRICE agreed that the City needs to make a resolution either way. MS. SEGERBLOM stated that staff could prepare a different agenda item or a letter for the Mayor's signature to the various entities requesting assistance.

MAYOR GOODMAN read NRS 428.010 and confirmed with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that it is the duty of the County to provide aid and relief to indigents.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL when the report from HUD regarding the homeless will be ready. ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL responded that completion is anticipated by mid October. A meeting for the Homeless Task Force of the Regional Planning Coalition is scheduled for October 24, 2001, where the findings will be presented and direction will be provided from the Task Force to staff. Those recommendations will then be presented to the full Regional Planning Coalition on October 25, 2001.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 68 – Discussion and possible action regarding request from Clark County to raise \$562,949 to provide nine months of basic, emergency shelter for 250 homeless men through the M.A.S.H. Village tent structure, including a requested \$191,403 from the City of Las Vegas

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN said that he would like to see the report before he can determine his position on this matter.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, challenged Father Joe of the M.A.S.H. Village to sell his home in Spring Valley and use the proceeds for the tent. He agreed that the City does not have the funds to contribute. An unidentified male speaker with M.A.S.H. Village stated that this is an issue about stepping forward to assist if possible, not about Father Joe.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN requested clarifying information from MS. SEGERBLOM as to why 71% of the funding will be applied to staff the M.A.S.H. tent.

There was no further discussion.

(1:18- 1:59)

3-1647

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding the city's level of participation, ownership, liability and maintenance of recreation (equestrian) trails as described in Assembly Bill #63 - Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The purpose of this item is for the City Council to discuss whether the City should require developers to provide recreation (equestrian) trails and in doing so, encumber the City to be responsible for their ownership, liability and maintenance.

RECOMMENDATION:

None

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED Map #2 with a cost of \$37,066 yearly to maintain 11.5 miles of trails and directing staff to prepare a study on the total adjacent County land that could be annexed into the City – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

CHRIS KNIGHT, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department

DON SCHMEISER, Planning and Development Department

BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

(1:59 – 2:24)

3-3583/4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on a Neighborhood Traffic Management Program Manual (\$47,000 - Department of Motor Vehicles and Public Safety) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$47,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: DMV and Public Safety

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Traffic Engineering Division received a grant from the Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles and Public Safety to hire a consultant to rewrite and redesign the city's 1995 Neighborhood Traffic Management Program manual. This manual will be used by staff and neighborhood groups in developing traffic calming programs for their neighborhoods. The consultant conducted research on what traffic control devices other cities use, received input from neighborhood residents and businesses and from the technical advisory committee.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Neighborhood Traffic Management Program Manual

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and MACK not voting

MINUTES:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, indicated that staff has briefed the Council and the matter is in order. He recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(2:24 – 2:26)

4-782

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Report on the status of Park projects

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Engineering Intergration

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Department of Public Works would like to update the Mayor and City Council on the status of park projects.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE - ABEYANCE to 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

In consideration of time, RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, requested the matter be held in abeyance to 11/7/2001.

There was no further discussion.

(2:26)

4-820

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RESOLUTIONS:

R-124-2001 - Discussion and possible action regarding the resolution authorizing payment to eligible city employees during military leave (\$500,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$500,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance & Business Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The attached Resolution would allow the City Manager to implement payment to City employees eligible for Military Leave. The City would make up the difference between the employee's City base wages and the military wages received by the employee between September 2001 and March 2002. Human Resources upon receipt of a copy of the employee's military pay statement, Payroll will issue a check to the employee or the employee's family at the time of the regular payday in the amount representing the difference in the employee's regular City pay and military pay.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the attached Resolution to allow a pay differential for eligible employees whose military pay is less than their regular City pay. This differential will be effective September 2001 and will continue through March 2002.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Resolution No. R-124-2001

MOTION:**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

CLAUDETTE ENUS, Director, Human Resources, reported that a draft proposal was put together to supplement the base pay of City employees that might be called to active military duty to minimize any financial impact to their families.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Human Resources

Item 72 – R-124-2001

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned how many employees have been called to duty. MS. ENUS replied that approximately 40 to 45 employees have been identified that have participated since 1998 as reservist; however, it is unknown how many might be called to duty. About three employees thus far have indicated that they have been called.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he spoke with employee HENRY LUJAN who indicated that he has been called to serve two years, a situation he hopes the proposal will consider. MS. ENUS commented that the proposal includes those employees called to duty between September 2001 and March 2002. If necessary, the resolution will be brought before Council for reconsideration.

COUNCILMAN BROWN clarified that the proposal includes payment of the difference between military pay and City pay, so in essence it is supplemental pay. He asked if the City would still pay for benefits. MS. ENUS indicated that the City will follow federal law in terms of benefits and there will be no gap. COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that the funds are available in the General Fund. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE pointed out that the funds come from positions that are already budgeted.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD noted that one of the attorneys might be called to duty. He requested approval in order to help the people that risk their lives willingly for freedom.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD commented that she also had the opportunity to make contact with some of the families of employees that have been called to duty and they expressed concern about their pay and benefits. She indicated her full support of the subject resolution.

TERRY CLOTE, Ward 6 resident, felt that passage of R-124-2001 is one step toward recovery and that the men and women that will be called back deserve such consideration. Hopefully the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department will do the same because his son was also called.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested that a wall be dedicated where pictures can be displayed of the employees called to duty until they return. MS. ENUS indicated that she will work on that.

There was no further discussion.

(2:26 – 2:34)

4-847

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - ETHICS REVIEW BOARD – Earle W. White, Jr., Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Robert J. Fleming, Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Linda Young, Term Expiration 5-12-2001

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In 1993, Ordinance No. 3699 was enacted abolishing the previous Ethics Committee and establishing an Ethics Review Board consisting of five members, terms of four years with no limit to the number of terms which may be served. Council members from Wards 1 through 4 were to appoint one person to the Board with the Mayor designating the Chair subject to confirmation by a majority of the City Council. Earle White, appointed in 1997 by Mayor Jones, has served as Chair and Robert Fleming, appointed in 1997 by Councilman Reese, has served as Vice Chair of this Board. Ward 4 Councilman Callister appointed Linda Young in 1997. Mr. White, Mr. Fleming and Ms. Young are eligible for reappointment. At the August 1, 2001 Council Meeting, Ordinance No. 5346 was adopted which expanded the existing Board from 5 to 7 members, keeping the initial appointment process intact. Consequently, these three seats remain eligible for appointment. At the September 5 Council Meeting, this item was abeyed to October 3, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

Options are:

Mayor Goodman to reappoint Mr. White OR appoint another citizen as Chairman with Council confirmation;

Councilman Reese to reappoint Mr. Fleming OR appoint another citizen as member;

Councilman Brown to reappoint Ms. Young OR appoint another citizen as member.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Revised Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board
2. Board Interest Forms – James Akers and Renn Reed

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 73 – Ethics Review Board

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because of his pending hearing before the Ethics Review Board

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:34)

4-1111

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on the two or four year appointment of the Ward 6 representative on the Ethics Review Board in accordance with Ordinance No. 5436, adopted August 1, 2001

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

At the City Council Meeting of August 1, 2001, Ordinance No. 5346 was adopted increasing the membership on the Ethics Review Board from 5 to 7 members. This membership increase is in accordance with the increase in the membership of the Las Vegas City Council from 5 to 7 members. The Councilmen for Ward 5 and Ward 6 are each eligible to make one appointment and on September 5, 2001, the Ward 5 appointment was made. The Ward 6 appointment was abeyed to October 3, 2001. Ordinance No. 5346 allows for the term of these initial appointments to be for either two or four years.

RECOMMENDATION:

As four of the five current Ethics Review Board members have term expirations in 2001 and one member has a term expiration in 2003, the City Clerk is recommending that the Ward 6 representative be appointed for a two-year term. It is recommended that Councilman Mack appoint a Ward 6 member with term expiration of 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Revised Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because of his pending hearing before the Ethics Review Board

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:34 – 2:35)

4-1134

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - PARK & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION – Jack Doyle,
Term Expiration 4-1-2004 (Deceased)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

I have been advised by the Director of the Department of Leisure Services that Mr. Jack Doyle, a valued member of the Park & Recreation Advisory Commission since April 1, 1992, recently passed away. Mr. Doyle's seat is now regrettably vacant and it will be necessary to fill his unexpired term. This item was abeyed at the September 19, 2001 Council Meeting to October 3, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. It will be necessary to fill Mr. Doyle's unexpired term which expires April 1, 2004.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson regarding the vacancy
2. Current Listing & Authority Park & Recreation Advisory Commission
3. Commission Interest Form –Vernell Paul McNeal (Ward 3)

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 10/17/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:35)

4-1152

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SENIOR CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD – Alberta Allen – Term
Expiration 6-2003 (Moved Out of State)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Senior Citizens Advisory Board was created upon Council adoption of Ordinance 5206 on April 19, 2000. This new Board consists of eight members appointed by the City Council. Each of the six members of Council has one coterminous appointment with the appointee residing in the respective member of Council's Ward; the Mayor has two coterminous appointments with members residing in two different Wards. Members may serve for an uninterrupted period not longer than eight years (two terms). Presently, one of the Mayor's representatives, Alberta Allen (Ward 2), has moved out of state. The Mayor's second representative lives in Ward 5. This item was abeyed from the September 19, 2001 Council Meeting to October 3, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

Appointment of a new representative by Mayor Goodman from Wards 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6, with the term of office to expire June 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Current Listing & Authority - Senior Citizens' Advisory Board
2. Board Interest Forms: Howard J. Freas (Ward 6), Barbara A. Fraser (Ward 4), Gil Geurin (Ward 5), Margrette Makram (Ward 2), Dan W. Carter, Sr. (Ward 4)

MOTION:**GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 10/17/2001 – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(2:35 – 2:36)

4-1168

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - CHILD CARE LICENSING BOARD – June Gilmore – Term Expiration 6-28-2003 (Resigned)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Child Care Licensing Board consists of five members appointed by the City Council with each member of the Council making one appointment. Currently, Las Vegas Municipal Code (LVMC) 6.24 governing this Board is being reviewed for expansion to seven members. However, at the present time, membership remains at five. Ms. June Gilmore is the coterminous appointment of Councilman Michael McDonald and, with her resignation, Councilman McDonald is eligible to make this appointment. This member must be city resident, may be a licensed operator of a child care facility, and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served. This appointment was abeyed from the Council Meeting of September 5, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

It will be necessary for Councilman Michael McDonald to appoint a new representative as his coterminous appointment. This member must be a city resident and may be a licensed operator of a child care facility within the City of Las Vegas. The appointee's term will expire June 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Manager of Business Services with resignation letter
2. Current Listing and Authority – Child Care Licensing Board
3. Board Interest Form – Barbara A. Fraser

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 10/17/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:36)
4-1183

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

CHILD CARE LICENSING BOARD – Diane Simon-Jessen – Term Expiration 6-18-2005
(Resigned)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Child Care Licensing Board consists of five members appointed by the City Council with each member of the Council making one appointment. Currently, Las Vegas Municipal Code (LVMC) 6.24 governing this Board is being reviewed for expansion to seven members. However, at the present time, membership remains at five. One member must be a licensed operator of a child care facility within the City of Las Vegas and Ms. Diane Simon-Jessen filled that requirement. She is the coterminous appointment of Councilman Larry Brown and, with her resignation, Councilman Brown is eligible to make this appointment. Members must be city residents and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served.

RECOMMENDATION:

It will be necessary for Councilman Larry Brown to appoint a new representative as his coterminous appointment. This member must be a city resident and may be a licensed operator of a child care facility within the City of Las Vegas. The appointee will fill the unexpired term which ends June 2005.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Manager of Business Services with resignation letter
2. Current Listing and Authority – Child Care Licensing Board
3. Board Interest Form – Barbara A. Fraser

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion to APPOINT Mary Jane Hayes, 2612 Ashby Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that all his children attended Seton Academy and that although MS. HAYES is his friend, he would be voting on her appointment.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 78 – Child Care Licensing Board

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that MS. HAYES brings a lot of experience as a kindergarten teacher for 15 years, owner of 37 years of the Seton Academy, which was recently honored by Clark County Social Services as being the longest licensed center in Clark County.

There was no further discussion.

(2:36 – 2:37)

4-1196

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

SENIOR CITIZEN LAW PROJECT ADVISORY BOARD – Kimberly Malloy - Term Expires 11-1-2001

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Board is comprised of 9 members, filling three-year terms, with at least two members over the age of 60. Appointees must represent specific categories: 2 members of Senior Organizations; 2 Senior Service Providers; 3 Private Citizens; and 2 members of the Nevada State Bar. Kimberly Malloy presently fills the Private Citizen category and has served one term. She is eligible and wishes to be reappointed. Sheri Cane Vogel, Project Director, is recommending her reappointment.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. Options are:
Reappoint Kimberly Malloy for a second three year term; OR Appoint a new Private Citizen to fill this seat.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current listing and Authority-Senior Citizen Law Project Advisory Board

MOTION:

GOODMAN – Motion to REAPPOINT Kimberly Malloy – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:37 – 2:38)
4-1235

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-86 – Annexation No. A-0009-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of O'Hare Road, east of the Fort Apache Road alignment; Petitioned By: Barbara Singleton Trust, et al; Acreage: 13.68 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of O'Hare Road, east of the Fort Apache Road alignment. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 12, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 10/3/2001 City Council meeting as a First Amendment pursuant to the 9/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 9/5/2001; First Publication – 9/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-86 and Location Map - First Amendment

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5364 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:38)

4-1258

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-87 – Annexation No. A-0010-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Log Cabin Way and Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: Love Family Trust; Acreage: 10.25 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Log Cabin Way and Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 12, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 10/3/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 9/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 9/5/2001; First Publication – 9/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-87 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5365 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:38 – 2:39)

4-1279

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-88 – Annexation No. A-0043-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Horse Drive, approximately 330 feet east of El Capitan Way; Petitioned By: Shriner's Childrens Hospital, et al; Acreage: 10.32 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Horse Drive, approximately 330 feet east of El Capitan Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 12, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 10/3/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 9/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 9/5/2001; First Publication – 9/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-88 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5366 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:39)

4-1299

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-89 – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1478 - Vegas Drive (Rancho Drive to I-15) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$216,082.77

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, median islands with left turn lanes and/or continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalks, driveway approaches, water laterals and mains, sewer laterals and mains, and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 10/3/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 9/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 9/5/2001; First Publication – 9/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-89

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5367 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:39 – 2:40)

4-1321

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-83 – Establishes rules, regulations and business licensing requirements for farmers' markets. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill proposes licensing standards and regulatory provisions for farmers' markets as well as for promoters of such activities. In addition to farm product vendors, this bill permits non-farm product vendors in limited numbers and locations at a farmers' market, upon their first obtaining and thereafter maintaining a valid permit. The annual license fee for a farmers' market promoter will be two hundred dollars for a primary location and fifty dollars annually for each additional promoted farmers' market. Non-farm product vendors will be required to pay a ten dollar permit fee each month.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill was held in abeyance to the 11/19/2001 Recommending Committee meeting by the 10/1/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/19/2001 Recommending Committee

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-90 – Annexation No. A-0032-01(A) – Property Location: Near the northeast corner of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive; Petitioned By: Roadrunner Properties, LLC, et al; Acreage: 15.50 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located near the northeast corner of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 26, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 10/17/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/1/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 9/19/2001; First Publication – 10/5/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-91 – Annexation No. A-0033-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of O'Hare Avenue approximately 220 feet east of Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: O'Hare Partners, et al; Acreage: 6.87 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of O'Hare Avenue approximately 220 feet east of Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 26, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 10/17/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/1/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 9/19/2001; First Publication – 10/5/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-92 – Annexation No. A-0037-01(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive; Petitioned By: Vincent Magliulo; Acreage: 2.58 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 26, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 10/17/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/1/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 9/19/2001; First Publication – 10/5/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-93 – Updates the City’s notification requirements for certain zoning hearings in conformance with State law. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In its 2001 session, the Nevada Legislature adopted AB 553, which adjusted the notification requirements for various types of zoning hearings. This bill will conform the City’s Zoning Code to the new requirements.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-93

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCIL MEMBERS WEEKLY and L.B. McDONALD

10/15/2001 Recommending Committee

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

(2:40 – 2:42)

4-1349

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-94 – Amends the Zoning Code regarding the expansion and redevelopment of certain nonconforming uses. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Several months ago the Council amended the Zoning Code to allow the expansion of certain types of nonconforming uses in appropriate circumstances. This is a follow-up bill to apply those same principles when property is redeveloped. The bill will also allow the continuation of uses that, under some circumstances, have been made nonconforming by the interim establishment of a protected use within a short distance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-94

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCIL MEMBERS WEEKLY and L.B. McDONALD

10/15/2001 Recommending Committee

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

(2:40 – 2:42)

4-1349

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-95 – Amends the Zoning Code to provide for the forwarding of certain variance applications to the City Council for final action. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Zoning Code presently requires (in most cases) that affirmative action be taken to appeal or have a subsequent review of the Planning Commission's action on variance applications. There has been some confusion about how to process a variance application related to another application that must be heard by the City Council. In order to eliminate that confusion, this bill will provide for automatic Council review of variance applications that are related to zone changes, special use permit applications or site development reviews that are to be heard by the Council.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-95

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCIL MEMBERS WEEKLY and L.B. McDONALD

10/15/2001 Recommending Committee

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

(2:40 – 2:42)

4-1349

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-96 – Updates the annexation provisions of the Zoning Code to conform to State law. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In its 2001 session, the Nevada Legislature adopted AB 179, which was the City's effort to facilitate the annexation of County islands. This bill will update the annexation provisions of the City's Zoning Code accordingly.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-96

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCIL MEMBERS WEEKLY and L.B. McDONALD

10/15/2001 Recommending Committee

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

(2:40 – 2:42)

4-1349

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-97 – Amends the Zoning Code to allow the practice of hypnotherapy as a permitted use in all commercial and industrial districts. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

For zoning purposes, the Zoning Code presently treats the practice of hypnotherapy the same as astrologists, hypnotist and psychics--limiting the use to certain commercial and industrial zones and requiring a special use permit. Recognizing that hypnotherapy is distinct because of its medical applications, this bill will allow the practice of hypnotherapy as a permitted use in all commercial and industrial districts.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-97

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCIL MEMBERS WEEKLY and L.B. McDONALD

10/15/2001 Recommending Committee

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

(2:40 – 2:42)

4-1349

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-98 – Amends the Zoning Code provisions relating to wheel stops in parking areas.
Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code presently requires on-site parking areas to provide wheel stops or curbing to protect pedestrian areas from vehicle encroachment. This bill will eliminate the requirement in cases where the walkway around the perimeter of a building is at least seven feet wide.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-98

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCIL MEMBERS WEEKLY and L.B. McDONALD

10/15/2001 Recommending Committee

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

(2:40 – 2:42)

4-1349

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-99 – Allows time-share condominiums in the C-2 Zoning District by means of special use permit. Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code currently does not address time-share condominiums. In order to facilitate redevelopment efforts that may include a time-share proposal, this bill will allow the use in the C-2 Zoning District by means of special use permit and will establish minimum conditions deemed necessary to ensure compatibility.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-99

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCIL MEMBERS WEEKLY and L.B. McDONALD

10/15/2001 Recommending Committee

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

(2:40 – 2:42)

4-1349

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-100 – Revises the Town Center Development Standards Manual in various respects. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will update the Town Center Development Standards Manual to include commercial and residential changes recommended in connection with two text amendment applications. Changes include 1) the addition of street classifications and design standards, 2) the standardization of landscaping and amenity zone requirements for each street classification, 3) updated signage requirements and approval procedures, 4) the addition of uses that have been deemed appropriate, and 5) updated open space and other spacing requirements.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-100 and Incorporated Town Center Development Standards Manual

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCIL MEMBERS WEEKLY and L.B. McDONALD

10/15/2001 Recommending Committee

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

(2:40 – 2:42)

4-1349

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-101 – Makes unenforceable any covenant, condition, restriction or other regulation that prohibits or restricts the display of the American flag. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will make unenforceable any covenant, condition, restriction or other regulation that prohibits or restricts the display of the American flag.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-101

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCIL MEMBERS WEEKLY and L.B. McDONALD

10/15/2001 Recommending Committee

10/17/2001 Council Agenda

(2:40 – 2:42)

4-1349

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 2:42 P.M., RECONVENED AT 2:51 P.M. TO MAKE A MOTION TO GO INTO THE CLOSED SESSION, AND RECESSED AGAIN AT 2:51 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CLOSED SESSION - To Be Held at Conclusion of Morning Session

Upon a duly carried Motion, a closed meeting is called in accordance with NRS 288.220 to discuss the IAFF contract

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

To discuss negotiations for IAFF contract.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to go into closed door session in accordance with NRS 288.220 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:51)

4-1750

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 101 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 102 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 116 [V-0053-01], Item 117 [Z-0007-61(2)], Item 123 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [Abeyance Item – New Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 62 [Abeyance Item – New Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] and Item 138 [GPA-0033-99] to 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked to hold Item 138 [GPA-0033-99] to give the applicant ample time to meet with City staff.

There was no further discussion.

(3:26 – 3:30)

5-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 905 Scarlet Ridge Drive. PROPERTY OWNER: MARIA C. KING - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$464.60☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing (the garage), boarding and cleaning the vacant house, removing all trash and debris, dead vegetation and posting a "No trespassing" sign on the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$464.60 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 98 – 905 Scarlet Ridge Drive

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT HYDE, Neighborhood Services, stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The subject property was corrected by securing the garage. Trash and debris were removed. It was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem. “no trespassing” signs were posted. MR. HYDE recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$464.60 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, inquired if a decision was made as to the length of time a house would remain boarded up. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUGLAS SELBY replied that this item would be brought to the City Council for review and discussion at the October 17, 2001 meeting. MR. FARLOW was pleased with the response.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:30 – 3:31)

5-99

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 513 N. Yale Street. PROPERTY OWNER: FRANCISCO AND ADRIANNA QUIJADA - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,383.95☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing all doors and windows, removing the following: all high/dry vegetation from the front, rear and side yards, the dry fronds from the palm tree in the front yard, refuse and waste from the inside of the house and from the rear of the yard (lumber, mattress, furniture, etc), the playhouse structure filled with trash and debris, the refrigerator from the rear patio, the camper shell from the south side of the driveway and posting "No trespassing" signs on the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,383.95 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 99 – 513 N. Yale Street

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT HYDE, Neighborhood Services, stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. It was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by securing all doors and windows, removing all trash and vegetation from the property and removing miscellaneous debris and discarded articles. The house was boarded up and cleaned, and “no trespassing” signs were posted. MR. HYDE recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,383.95 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:31 – 3:33)

5-146

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of nuisance/litter located at 2909 Millie Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: DONALD B. STROBEL - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,424.85☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by removing all high vegetation in all yards, scattered litter, debris and junk vehicles. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,424.85 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 100 – 2909 Millie Avenue

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT HYDE, Neighborhood Services, stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. It was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem. The property was secured, boarded and cleaned, and “no trespassing” signs were posted. Junked vehicles, scattered litter/debris appliances and weeds were discarded. MR. HYDE recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,424.85 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:33 – 3:34)

5-193

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

NO ITEMS

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

- MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE PARKWAY CENTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
- 101 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0100-97(5)** - Union Pacific Railroad Company on behalf of Chelsea Property Group, Inc.
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0100-97(5) - PUBLIC HEARING
- 102 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0100-97(6)** - Union Pacific Railroad Company on behalf of Chelsea Property Group, Inc.
- MAJOR MODIFICATION TO AMEND SECTIONS OF THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
- 103 **Z-0016-98(17)** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(17) - PUBLIC HEARING
- 104 **Z-0059-01** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEWS RELATED TO Z-0016-98(17) AND Z-0059-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 105 **Z-0059-01(1)** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- 106 **Z-0059-01(2)** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- 107 **Z-0059-01(3)** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- 108 **Z-0016-98(13)** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of October 3, 2001

- REVIEW OF CONDITION RELATED TO Z-0016-98(17), Z-0059-01, Z-0059-01(1), Z-0059-01(2), Z-0059-01(3) AND Z-0016-98(13) - PUBLIC HEARING
- 109 **Z-0016-98(18)** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- VACATION RELATED TO Z-0016-98(17), Z-0059-01, Z-0059-01(1), Z-0059-01(2), Z-0059-01(3), Z-0016-98(13) AND Z-0016-98(18) - PUBLIC HEARING
- 110 **VAC-0025-01** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
- 111 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0102-96(5)** - Cumorah Credit Union on behalf of Hadfield Development, Inc.
- 112 **Z-0023-01(1)** - Grand Teton & El Capitan, Limited Liability Company on behalf of D.R. Horton, Inc.
- 113 **SD-0054-01** - City of Las Vegas
- RESCIND PREVIOUS ACTION - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
- 114 **Z-0057-98(2)** - Nine Parked Place, Limited Liability Company and Logan Realty of Nevada, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Logan Realty of Nevada, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
- 115 **Z-0057-98(2)** - Nine Parked Place, Limited Liability Company and Logan Realty of Nevada, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Logan Realty of Nevada, Limited Liability Company
- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 116 **ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0053-01** - WPI-Owens, Limited Liability Company on behalf of World Premier Investments
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0053-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 117 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0007-61(2)** - WPI-Owens, Limited Liability Company on behalf of World Premier Investments
- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 118 **V-0061-01** - The Salvation Army

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of October 3, 2001

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0061-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 119 **SD-0051-01** - The Salvation Army
- REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 120 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0148-89(3)** - Hooper Industries, Limited on behalf of Eller Outdoor Advertising
- REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 121 **U-0082-99(1)** - Jerry Kaufman on behalf of Jon Foster
- REQUIRED FIVE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 122 **U-0059-96(1)** - Dennis A. Perri, et al on behalf of Eller Outdoor Advertising Company
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 123 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0031-00** - American Store Properties, Inc.
- 124 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0072-01** - Buyers Syndicate
- 125 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0107-01** - Yehuda Gat and Yehuda Levi
- 126 **U-0045-01** - Lia Arnold Roberts Trust on behalf of Saint George Romanian Orthodox Church
- 127 **U-0103-01** - Joseph Roberts Revocable Family Trust, et al on behalf of Sun Nam Yi
- 128 **U-0115-01** - Rose Whiteside Living Trust on behalf of Steven Gibson
- 129 **U-0117-01** - World Entertainment Centers, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Bola I, Limited Liability Company
- 130 **U-0118-01** - Amerco Real Estate Company on behalf of Jose Meyer
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0118-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 131 **SD-0049-01** - Amerco Real Estate Company on behalf of Jose Meyer
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 132 **Z-0047-01** - Torrey Pines Trust on behalf of R.L. Homes, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0047-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 133 **Z-0047-01(1)** - Torrey Pines Trust on behalf of R.L. Homes, Limited Liability Company
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 134 **Z-0058-01** - Westview, Limited Liability Company on behalf of R/S Development

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0058-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 135 **Z-0058-01(1)** - Westview, Limited Liability Company on behalf of R/S Development
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 136 **Z-0060-01** - Chetak Development
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO Z-0060-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 137 **U-0114-01** - Chetak Development
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 138 **GPA-0033-99** - Nader-Noorozian

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE PARKWAY CENTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0100-97(5) - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CHELSEA PROPERTY GROUP, INC.** - Request for a Major Modification to amend or delete certain provisions of the Master Development Plan for Lot 1 of Parkway Center, generally located on the southwest corner of Bonneville Avenue and Grand Central Parkway (APN: 139-33-710-001), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff has no recommendation for this item. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff has no recommendation for this item. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 101 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 102 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 116 [V-0053-01], Item 117 [Z-0007-61(2)], Item 123 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [Abeyance Item – New Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 62 [Abeyance Item – New Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] and Item 138 [GPA-0033-99] to 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(3:26 – 3:30)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0100-97(5)
- PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0100-97(6) - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CHELSEA PROPERTY GROUP, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 478,028 SQUARE FOOT RETAIL MALL on 39.20 acres on the southwest corner of Bonneville Avenue and Grand Central Parkway (APN: 139-33-710-001), PD (Planned Development) Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff has no recommendation for this item. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff has no recommendation for this item. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 101 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 102 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 116 [V-0053-01], Item 117 [Z-0007-61(2)], Item 123 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [Abeyance Item – New Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 62 [Abeyance Item – New Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] and Item 138 [GPA-0033-99] to 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(3:26 – 3:30)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO AMEND SECTIONS OF THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(17) - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Major Modification to amend sections of the Iron Mountain Ranch Residential Planned Development Master Plan, in the area roughly bounded by Whispering Sands Drive to the south, Decatur Boulevard to the east, Iron Mountain Road to the north and Jones Boulevard to the west (APN: Multiple), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and withdrawing the request to reduce the requirements of section 4.4.3 and section 7.8.3 for a minimum one acre of usable park area and amending Condition No. 6 as follows:

- *Delete reference to equestrian facility in section 7.7 and replace with the requirement that any park facility proposed shall require a Site Development Plan to be heard at a public hearing.*

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – Z-0016-98(17)

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRIS SQUILLANTE, 750 Pilot Road, Suite F, appeared on behalf of KB HOME.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, referenced Item 107 [Z-0059-01(3)] and Item 108 [Z-0016-98(13)] and asked if these were plans for cluster homes. He commented that seven units to an acre is far too many and recommends five units per acre instead.

COUNCILMAN MACK commended LEAH BRYAN and RANDY TARR of KB HOME for their efforts to provide a grouping of various styled homes to accommodate so many different lifestyles.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 104 [Z-0059-01], and Item 105 [Z-0059-01(1)], Item 106 [Z-0059-01(2)], Item 107 [Z-0059-01(3)], Item 108 [Z-0016-98(13)], Item 109 [Z-0016-98(18)], and Item 110 [VAC-0025-01] for related discussion.

(3:34 – 3:47)

5-233

CONDITIONS:

1. Delete section 2.33.
2. Replace section 2.4 with updated information based on recent approvals.
3. Delete section 4.1.
4. Delete reference to equestrian facility in section 4.4.2 and replace with the requirement that any park facility proposed shall require a Site Development Plan to be heard at a public hearing.
5. Add 6,000 square foot lots to Table 5.1 and allow same uses as 7,000 square foot lots.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – Z-0016-98(17)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. Delete reference to equestrian facility in section 4.4.2 and replace with the requirement that any park facility proposed shall require a Site Development Plan to be heard at a public hearing.
7. Delete Home Owners Association from section 7.8.4 and replace with Landscape Maintenance Association.
8. Remove and replace Exhibit 7.2, 7.4, and 7.5 with new exhibits provided.
9. Replace Champion Homes as the design review committee with KB Home Nevada, Inc.
10. Replace 4.4.3 with two elements are required, from the current requirement of three elements.

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL:

1. Reduce the requirements of section 4.4.3 and section 7.8.3 for a minimum one acre of usable park area to minimum 15,000 square feet of usable park area within all subdivisions with 10,000 square foot lots or less.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(17) - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0059-01 - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) and R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) TO: R-PD4 (Residential Planned Development - 4 Units Per Acre) and R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units Per Acre) on approximately 120 acres at the southwest corner of Iron Mountain Road and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-12-101-006, 125-12-501-001, and 125-12-603-001), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS SQUILLANTE, 750 Pilot Road, Suite F, represented the applicant.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked KB HOME for working with the residents, the City and the School District in their efforts to relocate the high school to Decatur Boulevard.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 104 – Z-0059-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 103 [Z-0016-98(17)], Item 105 [Z-0059-01(1)], Item 106 [Z-0059-01(2)], Item 107 [Z-0059-01(3)], Item 108 [Z-0016-98(13)], Item 109 [Z-0016-98(18)], and Item 110 [VAC-0025-01] for related discussion.

(3:34 – 3:47)

5-233

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. The overall density is limited to a maximum of 4.49 [R-PD4 (Residential Planned Development– 4 Units Per Acre)] and 7.49 [R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units Per Acre)] dwelling units per acre, respectively.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. A vacation application, such as VAC-25-01, shall record prior to the recordation of any final maps overlying the areas to be vacated.
5. Dedicate appropriate public right-of-way adjacent and internal to these sites concurrent with development of these sites. Final dedication requirements will be determined upon submittal of individual site plans.
6. Construct appropriate half-street improvements, including overpaving, adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
7. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to each site along a logical route concurrent with development of each site. Final half-street construction requirements will be determined upon submittal of individual site plans.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 104 – Z-0059-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend oversized public sewer in Decatur Boulevard and Bradley Road to the northern edge of this site to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
9. An update to the Traffic Access Analysis must be submitted to and approved prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for any site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Access Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Access Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Access Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Access Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Access Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Access Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
10. An update to the Master Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for any site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 104 – Z-0059-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

11. The final layout of each development within the Planned Development Area shall be determined at the time of approval of individual Tentative Maps and/or Site Development Plan Reviews.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0016-98(17) AND Z-0059-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0059-01(1) - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 260-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on approximately 40 acres at the southwest corner of Brent Lane and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-12-603-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre), [PROPOSED: R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

1. Submittal of revised site plan, for staff review, that depicts a minimum one-acre “pocket park” centrally located within the proposed subdivision or approval of Major Modification [Z-0016-98(17)] to allow the proposed reduction to 15,000 square feet of park area,

and adding the following condition:

- All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.

and deleting Condition No. 3

- UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 105 – Z-0059-01(1)

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS SQUILLANTE, 750 Pilot Road, Suite F, represented the applicant. Regarding the landscaping requirements on the Decatur Boulevard median, MS. SQUILLANTE asked for clarification if this would be maintained by the City, since Decatur Boulevard is the boundary line between the City of Las Vegas and the City of North Las Vegas. She stated that it seems premature and requested a waiver of the landscaping, instead modifying the condition to require KB HOME to stub out the landscaping to the median for future design. CHERI EDELMAN of Public Works, in response to COUNCILMAN MACK'S query, stated that the typical median landscaping has always been the responsibility of the adjacent property owner. CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development, explained that since this is only a site plan review and assigning responsibility for maintenance of the two halves of the median would be difficult, he recommended that condition be deleted.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 103 [Z-0016-98(17)], Item 104 [Z-0059-01], Item 106 [Z-0059-01(2)], Item 107 [Z-0059-01(3)], Item 108 [Z-0016-98(13)], Item 109 [Z-0016-98(18)], and Item 110 [VAC-0025-01] for related discussion.

(3:34 – 3:47)

5-233

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Submittal of a revised site plan, for staff review, that depicts a minimum one-acre “pocket park” centrally located within the proposed subdivision or approval of Major Modification [Z-0016-98(7)] to allow the proposed reduction.
2. Submittal of a landscape plan indicating compliance with the Iron Mountain Ranch standards for perimeter landscaping and internal open space.
3. The applicant shall be required to landscape the median in Decatur Boulevard to City standards.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 105 – Z-0059-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. Approval of a Major Modification [Z-0016-98(7)] to allow the additional density within the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Plan, approval of a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0021-01) to ML (Medium-Low Density Residential), and approval of a Rezoning (Z-0059-01) to R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units Per acre).
5. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
6. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet in the front, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.

Public Works

8. Dedicate 60 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Decatur Boulevard, 40 feet for Horse Drive, 25.5 feet for Thom Boulevard, 25.5 feet for Brent Lane, a 25 foot radius on the southwest corner of Brent Lane and Decatur Boulevard, a 54 foot radius on the northwest corner of Horse Drive and Decatur Boulevard, a 20 foot radius on the northeast corner of Horse Drive and Thom Boulevard, a 15 foot radius on the southeast corner of Thom Boulevard and Brent Lane, and appropriate radii for the entrances on Decatur Boulevard and Horse Drive prior to the issuance of any permits.
9. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Horse Drive, Brent Lane and Thom Boulevard adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site and construct half-street improvements including the west half of the median on Decatur Boulevard. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
10. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sewer in Decatur Boulevard to the northern edge of this site to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 105 – Z-0059-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

11. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Entranceways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. The proposed drive accessing Horse Drive is aligned approximately 25 feet from the proposed drive to the south accessing Plan Area 13; this intersection must be revised such that the streets are aligned or offset a minimum of 220 feet.
12. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
13. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
14. Site development to comply with all previous conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-59-01, on this same agenda, and all other subsequent site-related actions.
15. The final layout of this site shall be determined with the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0016-98(17), Z-0059-01 AND Z-0059-01(1) - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0059-01(2) - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 260 LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on approximately 39 acres on northeast corner of Brent Lane and Bradley Road (APN: 125-12-501-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) [PROPOSED: R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

1. Submittal of revised site plan, for staff review, that depicts a minimum one-acre “pocket park” centrally located within the proposed subdivision or approval of Major Modification [Z-0016-98(17)] to allow the proposed reduction to 15,000 square feet of park are,

and adding the following condition:

- All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 106 – Z-0059-01(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRIS SQUILLANTE, 750 Pilot Road, Suite F, represented the applicant.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, asked that the applicant, in regards to Item 106 [Z-0059-01(2)], Item 107 [Z-0059-01(3)], and Item 108 [Z-0016-98(13)], acknowledge that they are aware that the trails are to be required along Bradley Road and Iron Mountain Road and that the conditions will be imposed at the time the tentative map is submitted. MS. SQUILLANTE concurred.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 103 [Z-0016-98(17)], Item 104 [Z-0059-01], and Item 105 [Z-0059-01(1)], Item 107 [Z-0059-01(3)], Item 108 [Z-0016-98(13)], Item 109 [Z-0016-98(18)], and Item 110 [VAC-0025-01] for related discussion.

(3:34 – 3:47)

5-233

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Submittal of a revised site plan, for staff review, that depicts a minimum one-acre “pocket park” centrally located within the proposed subdivision or approval of Major Modification [Z-0016-98(7)] to allow the proposed reduction.
2. Submittal of a landscape plan indicating compliance with the Iron Mountain Ranch standards for perimeter landscaping and internal open space.
3. Provide Planning staff with cross-section drawings of the required trail.
4. Approval of a Major Modification [(Z-0016-98(7))] to allow the additional density within the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Plan, approval of a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0021-01) to ML (Medium-Low Density Residential), and approval of a Rezoning (Z-0059-01) to R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units Per acre).
5. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 106 – Z-0059-01(2)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet in the front, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.

Public Works

8. Coordinate with the Clark County School District site to the east to determine the location of the realigned Thom Boulevard prior to the recordation of a final map for this site.
9. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Bradley Road, 40 feet for Iron Mountain Road, 51 feet for Thom Boulevard, 25.5 feet for Brent Lane, a 15 foot radius on the southeast corner of Bradley Road and Iron Mountain Road, a 15 foot radius on the northeast corner of and Bradley Road and Brent Lane, a 15 foot radius on the northwest corner of Brent Lane and Thom Boulevard, and appropriate radii for the entrances on Iron Mountain Road, Bradley Road and Thom Boulevard prior to the issuance of any permits.
10. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Iron Mountain Road, Bradley Road, Brent Lane, and Thom Boulevard adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
11. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sewer in Thom Boulevard to the northern edge of this site and in Iron Mountain Road to the western edge of this site to locations and depths acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Entranceways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 106 – Z-0059-01(2)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

13. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
14. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
15. Site development to comply with all previous conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-59-01, on this same agenda, and all other subsequent site-related actions.
16. The final layout of this site shall be determined with the Tentative Map. (Public Works)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0016-98(17), Z-0059-01, Z-0059-01(1) AND Z-0059-01(2) - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0059-01(3) - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 173 LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on approximately 40 acres on the southwest corner of Iron Mountain Road and Bradley Road (APN: 125-12-101-006), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) [PROPOSED: R-PD4 (Residential Planned Development - 4 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

1. Submittal of revised site plan, for staff review, that depicts a minimum one-acre “pocket park” centrally located within the proposed subdivision or approval of Major Modification [Z-0016-98(17)] to allow the proposed reduction to 15,000 square feet of park area.

and adding the following condition:

- All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 107 – Z-0059-01(3)

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRIS SQUILLANTE, 750 Pilot Road, Suite F, represented the applicant.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 103 [Z-0016-98(17)], Item 104 [Z-0059-01], and Item 105 [Z-0059-01(1)], Item 106 [Z-0059-01(2)], Item 108 [Z-0016-98(13)], Item 109 [Z-0016-98(18)], and Item 110 [VAC-0025-01] for related discussion.

(3:34 – 3:47)

5-233

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Submittal of a revised site plan, for staff review, that depicts a minimum one-acre “pocket park” centrally located within the proposed subdivision or approval of Major Modification [Z-0016-98(7)] to allow the proposed reduction.
2. Submittal of a landscape plan indicating compliance with the Iron Mountain Ranch standards for perimeter landscaping and internal open space.
3. Approval of a Major Modification [Z-0016-98(7)] to allow the additional density within the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Plan, approval of a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0021-01) to ML (Medium-Low Density Residential), and approval of a Rezoning (Z-0059-01) to R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units Per acre).
4. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet in the front, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.

Public Works

7. Dedicate appropriate radii for the entrances on Iron Mountain Road and Bradley Road prior to the issuance of any permits. Coordinate with the Right-of-Way Section of the Department of Public Works to determine appropriate right-of-way dimensions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 107 – Z-0059-01(3)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Iron Mountain Road and Bradley Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
9. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sewer in Brent Lane to the southwest corner of this site to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
10. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Entranceways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
11. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
12. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 107 – Z-0059-01(3)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

13. Site development to comply with all previous conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-59-01, on this same agenda, and all other subsequent site-related actions.
14. The final layout of this site shall be determined with the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0016-98(17), Z-0059-01, Z-0059-01(1), Z-0059-01(2) AND Z-0059-01(3) - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(13) - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 135-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on approximately 40 acres located at the northwest corner of Horse Drive and Bradley Road (APN: 125-12-202-002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

1. Submittal of revised site plan, for staff review, that depicts a minimum one-acre “pocket park” centrally located within the proposed subdivision or approval of Major Modification [Z-0016-98(17)] to allow the proposed reduction to 15,000 square feet of park area.

and adding the following condition:

- All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 108 – Z-0016-98(13)

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRIS SQUILLANTE, 750 Pilot Road, Suite F, represented the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 103 [Z-0016-98(17)], Item 104 [Z-0059-01], and Item 105 [Z-0059-01(1)], Item 106 [Z-0059-01(2)], Item 107 [Z-0059-01(3)], Item 109 [Z-0016-98(18)], and Item 110 [VAC-0025-01] for related discussion.

(3:34 – 3:47)

5-233

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Submittal of a revised site plan, for staff review, that depicts a minimum one-acre “pocket park” centrally located within the proposed subdivision or approval of Major Modification [Z-0016-98(7)] to allow the proposed reduction.
2. Submittal of a landscape plan indicating compliance with the Iron Mountain Ranch standards for perimeter landscaping and internal open space.
3. Approval of a Major Modification [Z-0016-98(7)] to allow the reduced lot size within the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Plan.
4. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet in the front, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.

Public Works

7. A Vacation Application, such as VAC-25-01, shall record prior to the recordation of any Final Maps overlying the areas to be vacated.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 108 – Z-0016-98(13)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Dedicate appropriate radii for the entrances on Bradley Road and Horse Drive prior to the issuance of any permits. Coordinate with the Right-of-Way Section of the Department of Public Works to determine appropriate right-of-way dimensions.
9. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Horse Drive and Bradley Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
10. Provide two lanes of paved, legal access to this site prior to occupancy of any units within this development.
11. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend oversized public sewer in Bradley Road to the north edge of this property and to extend public sewer in Horse Drive to the western edge of this site to locations and depths acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Entranceways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
13. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 108 – Z-0016-98(13)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

14. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a final map, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.
15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-16-98 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
16. The final layout of this site shall be determined with the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION RELATED TO Z-0016-98(17), Z-0059-01, Z-0059-01(1), Z-0059-01(2), Z-0059-01(3) AND Z-0016-98(13) - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(18) - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Review of Condition #2 REGARDING THE NUMBER OF MAXIMUM UNITS; CONDITION #10 REGARDING TIMING OF COMPLETION OF PERIMETER BLOCK WALLS; CONDITION #11 REGARDING THE CONSTRUCTION OF CITY PARK FACILITIES IN LIEU OF REQUIRED OPEN SPACE; CONDITION #51 REGARDING THE PRECEDENCE OF CITY CODE OVER THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH MASTER PLAN; AND TO AMEND ALL REFERENCES TO HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION TO LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE ASSOCIATION in the area roughly bounded by Whispering Sands Drive to the south, Decatur Boulevard to the east, Iron Mountain Road to the north and Jones Boulevard to the west (APN: Multiple), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 109 – Z-0016-98(18)

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRIS SQUILLANTE, 750 Pilot Road, Suite F, represented the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 103 [Z-0016-98(17)], Item 104 [Z-0059-01], and Item 105 [Z-0059-01(1)], Item 106 [Z-0059-01(2)], Item 107 [Z-0059-01(3)], Item 108 [Z-0016-98(13)], and Item 110 [VAC-0025-01] for related discussion.

(3:34 – 3:47)

5-233

CONDITIONS:

1. Condition Number 2 shall read as follows: The project shall consist of a maximum of 2,220 units with a five percent (5%) fluctuation thereof.
2. Condition Number 10 shall read as follows: Perimeter block wall to be completed prior to the first Certificate of Occupancy for each construction phase.
3. Condition Number 11 shall read as follows: sets forth the ability for the applicant to construct City park facilities in lieu of providing a portion of the required open space for the project...Park facilities shall be reviewed as a Site Development Plan review at a public hearing ...The guidelines shall also be amended to require that park construction commence when 50 percent of the master plan building permits have been issued.
4. Condition Number 51 shall read as follows: All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied, unless there is a discrepancy with the Iron Mountain Ranch Plan, in which case the Iron Mountain Ranch Standard takes precedence.
5. All references to a Home Owners Association (HOA) are replaced with a Landscape Maintenance Association (LMA).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION RELATED TO Z-0016-98(17), Z-0059-01, Z-0059-01(1), Z-0059-01(2), Z-0059-01(3), Z-0016-98(13) AND Z-0016-98(18) - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-0025-01 - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Petition to vacate portions of public rights-of-way generally located west of Decatur Boulevard, between Grand Teton Road and Iron Mountain Road, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS SQUILLANTE, 750 Pilot Road, Suite F, represented the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 110 – VAC-0025-01

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: See Item 103 [Z-0016-98(17)], Item 104 [Z-0059-01], and Item 105 [Z-0059-01(1)], Item 106 [Z-0059-01(2)], Item 107 [Z-0059-01(3)], Item 108 [Z-0016-98(13)], and Item 109 [Z-0016-98(18)] for related discussion.

(3:34 – 3:47)

5-233

CONDITIONS:

1. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. *(Public Works Department)*
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments. *(Planning and Development Department)*
3. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required. *(Planning and Development Department)*
4. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation as required by the Department of Public Works. *(Public Works Department)*
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #4 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. *(Public Works Department)*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 110 – VAC-0025-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (*Planning and Development Department*)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING -
Z-0102-96(5) - CUMORAH CREDIT UNION ON BEHALF OF HADFIELD

DEVELOPMENT INC. - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction of the Perimeter and Parking Lot Landscaping Requirements FOR A PROPOSED 6,277 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING ON 0.58 acres at 7660 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 163-03-415-007), U (Undeveloped) Zone [O (Office) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BART HADFIELD of Hadfield Development and Tony Mudgoff of Cumorah Credit Union both concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 111 – Z-0102-96(5)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:47 – 3:48)

5-646

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Submit a revised landscape plan to provide two additional trees and related shrubs in the landscape planter along the east property line, in lieu of providing the required landscape planter finger.
2. Submit a revised site plan to relocate the trash enclosure in compliance with Condition #9 of Rezoning Z-0102-96.
3. Submit a revised landscape plan depicting the 24-foot break in the landscape planter closed and landscaped similar to the remainder of the west property line, with groundcover, shrubs, and a least one 24-inch box tree.
4. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 111 – Z-0102-96(5)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

12. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
13. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-102-96 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0023-01(1) - GRAND TETON & EL CAPITAN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF D.R. HORTON, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 103-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 17.24 acres on the northwest corner of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive (portion of APN: 125-08-401-003), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD6 (Residential Planned Development - 6 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

OT APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following condition:

- *All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.*

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LOUIE FALLOR of CVL Consultants, Inc. concurred with all conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that he disagrees with six units per acre and suggested five units per acre would be appropriate.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 112 – Z-0023-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, asked the applicant to acknowledge that the trail is required and that that condition will be imposed at the time the tentative map is submitted. MR. FALLOR agreed.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(3:48 – 3:50)

5-684

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. The setbacks for this development shall be 18 feet in the front, five feet on the side, 10 feet on a corner side yard, and 15 feet in the rear.
4. Streetscape landscaping shall meet the requirements of the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards in regards to tree and shrub size (minimum 24-inch box trees and 5-gallon shrubs), and all trees provided within the open space area to be minimum 24-inch box trees.
5. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the street frontages. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 112 – Z-0023-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
7. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

9. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated access drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located, and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
10. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
11. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
12. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, landscaping, private drives and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
13. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-23-01 on this same agenda; and all other site-related actions.
14. The final layout of this site shall be determined with the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0054-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A RENOVATION AND 54,148 SQUARE FOOT ADDITION TO AN EXISTING COMMUNITY CENTER located on the southeast corner of Lake Mead Boulevard and "J" Street (APN's: 139-21-703-014 and 015) C-V (Civic) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Department of Public Works, presented the Site Development Plan for Doolittle Community Center. If accepted, the plans have been approved and permits are in the process. Public Works anticipates obtaining bids in November with construction to commence in January 2002.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:50 – 3:52)

5-752

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 113 – SD-0054-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations.
3. The landscape plan shall be revised prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters.
4. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
5. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
9. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 113 – SD-0054-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

11. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
12. A Traffic Impact Analysis or other information acceptable to the Traffic Engineering Division must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESCIND PREVIOUS ACTION - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0057-98(2) - NINE PARKED PLACE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY AND LOGAN REALTY OF NEVADA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LOGAN REALTY OF NEVADA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request by City Council to Rescind the Previous Action of APPROVAL for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 43,700 SQUARE FOOT AUTOMOBILE DEALERSHIP on approximately 8.84 acres on the north side of Sahara Avenue, approximately 650 feet west of Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 163-03-806-003 and 004), U (Undeveloped) Zone [GC (General Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff has no recommendation for this item

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff has no recommendation for this item.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – STRIKE – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining due to a business relationship with the owner of adjacent property to the proposed business.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 114 [Z-0057-98(2)-Rescind] and Item 115 [Z-0057-98(2)] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 114 [Z-0057-98(2) – Rescind].

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 114 – Z-0057-98(2)

MINUTES - Continued:

APPEARANCES:

MIKE BELLON

TOM GREEN, Chief Deputy City Attorney

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:52 – 3:56)

5-806

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0057-98(2)** - **NINE PARKED PLACE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY AND LOGAN REALTY OF NEVADA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LOGAN REALTY OF NEVADA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 43,700 SQUARE FOOT AUTOMOBILE DEALERSHIP on approximately 8.84 acres on the north side of Sahara Avenue, approximately 650 feet west of Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 163-03-806-003 and 004), U (Undeveloped) Zone [GC (General Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – RECORD MADE – No Action Taken – Final Action was taken on 9/5/2001.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK abstained on both Items 114 and 115; however, there was no vote taken on Item 115, and he did not participate in the discussion.

NOTE: No action taken since the 9/5/2001 approval was not rescinded and the preceding rescission Item 114 [Z-0057-98(2)] was stricken from the agenda.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 114 [Z-0057-98(2)-Rescind] and Item 115 [Z-0057-98(2)] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 114 [Z-0057-98(2)-Rescind].

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 115 – Z-0057-98(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES:

MIKE BELLON

TOM GREEN, Chief Deputy City Attorney

(3:52 – 3:56)

5-806

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. No display of vehicles in the landscape berm.
2. No employee parking on Via Olivero Avenue.
3. No parking on vacant lot to the east.
4. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. The site plan shall be revised to depict 88 customer parking spaces or a Variance shall be approved by the City Council to reduce that requirement prior to the issuance of any building permits.
7. Any wall signage on the north side of the building, facing residences, shall be non-illuminated.
8. Free-standing signage shall be limited to one monument sign, with a maximum height of eight feet, along the Sahara Avenue street frontage. The sign shall utilize materials and colors reflecting the commercial buildings.
9. No test drives are allowed on Via Olivero Avenue.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 115 – Z-0057-98(2)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. Landscaping and wall design shall match the properties to the east and west along Via Olivero Avenue.
11. The installation and use of an outdoor public address or bell system is prohibited.
12. Submit revised building elevations depicting the north façade to include comparable façade textual treatments as proposed on the other three façades, specifically horizontal trim and cornice lines, and vertical façade indentations reflecting the window openings as depicted on the south, east and west façades.
13. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
14. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC Section 19A.08.060 (C).
15. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 15 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights.
16. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
17. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
18. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, around the entire service parking area.

Public Works

19. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. The site shall be designed such that all delivery vehicles can maneuver for loading and unloading on-site; there shall be no parking, loading or unloading of vehicles within the Sahara Avenue public right-of-way.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 115 – Z-0057-98(2)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

20. If such has not already been established, submit a copy of a recorded Joint Access Agreement for the proposed shared driveways at the east and west edges of this site prior to the issuance of permits.
21. Vehicles shall be neither displayed nor stored within the public right-of-way adjacent to this site.
22. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0057-98 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0053-01 - WPI-OWENS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF WORLD PREMIER

INVESTMENTS - Request for a Variance to ALLOW A 20 FOOT REAR AND SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY REQUIRES A MINIMUM REAR AND SIDE YARD SETBACK OF 66 FEET on the southwest corner of the intersection of Pecos Road and Owens Avenue (APN: 139-25-501-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 101 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 102 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 116 [V-0053-01], Item 117 [Z-0007-61(2)], Item 123 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [Abeyance Item – New Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 62 [Abeyance Item – New Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] and Item 138 [GPA-0033-99] to 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(3:26 – 3:30)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0053-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0007-61(2)** - **WPI-OWENS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF WORLD PREMIER INVESTMENTS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 19,326 square foot commercial retail center on the southwest corner of the intersection of Pecos Road and Owens Avenue (APN: 139-25-501-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 101 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 102 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 116 [V-0053-01], Item 117 [Z-0007-61(2)], Item 123 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [Abeyance Item – New Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 62 [Abeyance Item – New Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] and Item 138 [GPA-0033-99] to 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(3:26 – 3:30)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0061-01 - THE SALVATION ARMY - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A ZERO FOOT SIDE SETBACK WHERE TEN FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK ALLOWED FOR PROPOSED DORMITORY BUILDING at 35 West Owens Avenue (APN: 139-27-502-002), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**WEEKLY – ABEYANCE TO 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he has been an acquaintance of LEO BORNS for many years but did not believe the friendship would affect his vote. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT agreed it would be all right to vote.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MAJOR JOE HOOGSTAD, representing the Salvation Army, introduced COLONEL SULLIVAN and LEO BORNS, the architect. MAJOR HOOGSTAD explained that the Salvation Army has received a number of grants and wishes to replace several old buildings as well as equipment. He stated that the Salvation Army plans to locate the replacement facilities on the property line to alleviate numerous concerns. One of the issues deals with public safety, and in conjunction with Union Pacific Railroad's construction of wrought iron fencing, the Salvation Army would be able to continue that fence in an effort to seal off any pedestrian access

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 118 – V-0061-01

MINUTES – Continued:

to the railroad from Owens Avenue and then continue with the block wall along the property line to meet the Paiute Graveyard.

MAJOR HOOGSTAD also mentioned that placement of the new buildings to the property line would enable current services to continue without interruption. Locating to the property line would also eliminate an alleyway that bisects the existing building and the property line.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, was opposed to the idea of adding more buildings to the existing property. He felt that additional facilities should be located in North Las Vegas or Henderson rather than being concentrated in one area. MAJOR HOOGSTAD clarified for MR. FARLOW that no expansion is planned. The existing buildings, which are over 30 years old, will be demolished and replaced with new buildings.

In response to MAYOR GOODMAN'S query regarding the essence of time, COLONEL SULLIVAN shared that the Lied Foundation had committed to a \$5 million grant and he would hate to lose that. MAYOR GOODMAN explained that he would like to wait for the results of the recent Homeless Coalition Summit Conference, which will be in report form. However, he was uncertain as to what information would be provided or whether there would be any sort of guidance or possible solutions to deal with the homeless issues. He pointed out that the more capital you invest in an area, the harder it is to move it out. He emphasized that the City Council considers this a regional matter rather than just a local problem and would like to have the homeless issues shared by the various municipalities throughout the Valley. COLONEL SULLIVAN asked what the report would indicate and what would be gained. MAYOR GOODMAN said at this time he did not know. COLONEL SULLIVAN agreed to wait until the report was received.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY praised the efforts of the Salvation Army and appreciated their working together with staff to sort out the particulars. He assured MAJOR HOOGSTAD that should anything occur prior to the 30 days abeyance, he would update them on the details.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 118 [V-0061-01] and Item 119 [SD-0051-01] was held under Item 118 [V-0061-01].

(3:56 – 4:12)

5-947

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 118 – V-0061-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years from the date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The applicant shall have approved by the Planning Commission an additional Variance to allow a 5-foot front yard setback where 10-feet is the minimum setback allowed, prior to the issuance of any building permits.
3. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments, which are not affected by approval of this Variance, must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0061-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0051-01 - THE SALVATION ARMY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 39,180 SQUARE FOOT DORMITORY, A 3,120 SQUARE FOOT CHAPEL AND FOR A REDUCTION OF THE REQUIRED PERIMETER LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENTS on 5.44 acres at 35 West Owens Avenue (APN: 139-27-502-002), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE TO 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MAJOR JOE HOOGSTAD, COLONEL SULLIVAN, AND LEO BURNS, the architect, appeared on behalf of the Salvation Army.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 118 [V-0061-01] and Item 119 [SD-0051-01] was held under Item 118 [V-0061-01].

(3:56 – 4:12)

5-947

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – SD-0051-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. If the associated Variance (V-0061-01) is not approved, the site plan shall be revised to depict a minimum ten-foot setback along the side property line.
3. A Variance to allow a five-foot front setback where ten feet is the minimum setback allowed, shall be approved by the City Council prior to the issuance of any building permits. If a Variance application is not approved, the site plan shall be revised to depict a minimum ten-foot setback along the front property line.
4. The landscape plan shall be amended to depict the type, number, and placement of all proposed landscaping on the plan.
5. The landscape plan shall be amended to depict two additional 24-inch box trees planted adjacent to the northeast face of the proposed chapel. In addition, the proposed parking lot shall have five additional 24-inch box trees planted within the landscape finger islands.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – SD-0051-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
11. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

12. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
13. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access between this site and the adjoining parcel to the east prior to the issuance of any permits.
14. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Any new or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
15. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – SD-0051-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

16. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
17. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Owens Avenue adjacent to this site.
18. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Owens Avenue public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0148-89(3) - **HOOPER INDUSTRIES, LIMITED ON BEHALF OF ELLER OUTDOOR ADVERTISING** - Appeal filed by Clear Channel Outdoor from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Hooper Industries, Limited on behalf of Eller Outdoor Advertising for a Required One Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed a 14 foot by 48 foot off-premise advertising (billboard) sign at 1712 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 139-33-406-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in *one (1) year and two (2) months*, at which time the City Council *will* require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign to be removed *after the expiration of that period*.

– UNANIMOUS

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he owns property that is located in the vicinity of this sign; however, because it would have no affect on the value of his property, he is able to vote.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 120 – U-0148-89(3)

MINUTES – Continued:

R. VAN NOSTRAND, representing Clear Channel Outdoor, explained that he has held several discussions with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and the land owner and believes he has reached an amicable agreement.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(4:07 – 4:10)
5-1367

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in one (1) year at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0082-99(1) - **JERRY KAUFMAN ON BEHALF OF JON FOSTER** - Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed a Bail Bond Service at 605 South Casino Center Boulevard (APN: 139-34-311-059), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Condition No. 2 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JERRY KAUFMAN, owner of the property, appeared. He mentioned that this application went before the Planning Commission a month ago for a two-year mandatory review. At that time it was recommended that, if approved, no further reviews would be required.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:10 – 4:12)

5-1479

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 121 – U-0082-99(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.
2. Work with Planning staff on a more appropriate color scheme for the signage for this business.
3. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Special Use Permit (U-0082-99) and all other subsequent site-related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING -
**U-0059-96(1) - DENNIS A. PERRI, ET AL ON BEHALF OF ELLER OUTDOOR
ADVERTISING COMPANY** - Required Five Year Review on an approved Special Use
Permit which allowed a 14 foot x 48 foot off-premise advertising (billboard) sign to replace an
existing 12 foot x 24 foot off-premise advertising (billboard) sign on the west side of Rancho
Drive, approximately 75 feet north of Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-11-502-003), C-2 (General
Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend
APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

R. VAN NORSTRAND, representing the applicant, concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 122 – U-0059-96(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:25 – 2:28)

4-1114

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0031-00** - **AMERICAN STORE PROPERTIES, INC.** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE OFF-PREMISE SALE OF BEER AND WINE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED ALBERTSON'S CONVENIENCE STORE on the northwest corner of Craig Road and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 138-01-619-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item #61 and Item #62.)** The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL. Staff recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

Staff recommends DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 101 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 102 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 116 [V-0053-01], Item 117 [Z-0007-61(2)], Item 123 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [Abeyance Item – New Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 62 [Abeyance Item – New Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] and Item 138 [GPA-0033-99] to 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(3:26 – 3:30)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0072-01** -
BUYERS SYNDICATE - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR TRUCK RENTAL
(U-HAUL) at 1411 North Eastern Avenue (APN: 139-26-508-006), C-2 (General Commercial)
Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend
APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY ABEYANCE to 10/17/01 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

There was no representation for the applicant.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY explained that this item was held to give the applicant an opportunity to meet with staff; however, due to illness, he was unable to attend.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:13 – 4:15)

5-1598

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0107-01** - **YEHUDA GAT AND YEHUDA LEVY** - Request for a Special Use Permit for an EXISTING CAR WASH at 110 North Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-25-404-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARVIN OUTFIT represented the applicant and was present to answer any questions.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 125 – U-0107-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN asked if the pink Cadillac had been removed from the perch above the car wash and was interested to know if it had been sold.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:15 – 4:16)

5-1652

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The existing freestanding structure supporting a non-operational automobile, at the rear of the site, shall be removed prior to issuance of a business license.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0045-01 - LIA ARNOLD ROBERTS TRUST ON BEHALF OF SAINT GEORGE ROMANIAN ORTHODOX CHURCH -**

Request for a Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 6,000 SQUARE FOOT CHURCH WITH A 7,000 SQUARE FOOT RECREATION HALL on 2.62 acres located on the east side of Redrock Street, approximately 330 feet north of Del Rey Avenue (APN: 163-01-102-010), U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL of the Special Use Permit. The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) recommends the Site Development Plan Review be STRICKEN

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****8****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL of the Special Use Permit. The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) recommends the Site Development Plan Review be STRICKEN.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following conditions:

- *The social hall shall not be made available for social activities apart from direct church activities and shall not be made available to other organizations.*
- *If this Site Development Plan is not exercised within two years of this approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 – U-0045-01

MOTION – Continued:

- *The main church construction shall be required to have a separate Site Development Plan Review heard before the Planning Commission prior to construction of the facility.*
- *Provide access to this site from either Jones Boulevard or Charleston Boulevard except emergency access through a crash gate to Red Rock Street.*
- *Submit revised elevations for the Social Hall indicating design and construction of a residential character, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department, prior to the issuance of any building permits for the site.*
- *Submit a revised landscape plan indicating 24-inch box trees 20 feet on center along the east property line.*
- *All development shall be in conformance with the submitted site plan, landscape plans, and building elevations, except as amended by conditions.*
- *Wall pack lighting shall utilize ‘shoebox’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 15 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoebox’ fixtures and downward-directed lights.*
- *All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060.*
- *All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.*
- *Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed prior to occupancy and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.*
- *Dedicate one-half of a 45.5 foot radius cul-de-sac bulb at the north terminus of Red Rock Street and if Vacation application does not record, one-half of a 45.5 foot radius cul-de-sac bulb at the west terminus of Holmby Avenue adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 – U-0045-01

MOTION – Continued:

- *Submit a Vacation Application to vacate that portion of Red Rock Street adjacent to this site and north of the cul-de-sac bulb required by Condition No. 1; the Vacation Application shall be acted upon by the City Council prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.*
- *Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving and cul-de-sac turnarounds (if legally able) on Red Rock Street and if not vacated Holmby Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. In addition, extend a minimum of two lanes of paving from this site southward to tie into existing improvements in the Red Rock Street alignment. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.*
- *Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on-site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.*
- *Extend public sewer in the Red Rock Street alignment northward to the south edge of APN: 163-01-102-009 to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City*
- *A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1, #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 – U-0045-01

MOTION – Continued:

this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

- *A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvement; the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.*

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LIA ROBERTS, 711 Rancho Circle, represented this application. MS. ROBERTS explained that as the former owner of this property, the land was donated for the purpose of building and maintaining a place of worship consistent with the tradition and faith of the Romanian Orthodox Church. As spokesperson for the congregation, she referenced letters of support from other

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 – U-0045-01

MINUTES – Continued:

Orthodox Churches as well as from the adjacent property owners. MS. ROBERTS detailed the hours of worship and the number of church members. She stated that the proposal for this property is to construct a church and a social hall. There are no plans to build either a school or a child-care facility. Having received and reviewed an additional list of conditions from staff, MS. ROBERTS said that she had no objections and would comply with all City codes and design standards as mandated.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked if there was any opposition. MS. ROBERTS acknowledged that there was and the concern dealt with ingress and egress to the property.

PATRICIA BOLLING, 1485 Red Rock Street, was perturbed with the series of events that have evolved around this project. Having attended most of the public hearing meetings regarding this item, she questioned the appropriateness of considering approval without a site plan. One of her major concerns dealt with no access from the church site onto either Charleston Boulevard or Jones Boulevard. She described Red Rock Street as being just 16 feet wide, with no streetlights and several cul-de-sacs and hardly an appropriate roadway for heavy traffic. MS. BOLLING, at one of the Planning Commission meetings, asked for an explanation of primary access and was bothered when no one could provide a clarification.

ED SHEETS, 1295 Duneville Drive, expressed the same concerns as MS. BOLLING. He emphasized a need for access to Charleston Boulevard or Jones Boulevard.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked if a lease agreement had been negotiated with the adjacent owner for access through the south portion of his property. MR. SHEETS answered that he has been in contact with the owner of Skipco, who has said that no one has approached him with such a request.

JOHN HAZZARD, 1335 Duneville Drive, said that he understood that the Planning Commission had reminded the applicant that part of the process was to assure there was access to one of the major streets before it was presented to the City Council.

THOMAS STRAULING, 5844 West Del Rey Boulevard, recalled this item when it first went before the Planning Commission and the site plan was denied due to the access issue. Delay of this item since its inception has still not resolved the problem.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 – U-0045-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. ROBERTS commented that she was glad the only issue is the access and offered assurance that she has been in contact with COUNCILMAN McDONALD and is trying to work out an amicable agreement to gain access to Charleston Boulevard through the Skipco property.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD expressed his concern regarding the City and the County boundary lines and the obvious affect the piecemealing has had on several communities, resulting in no streetlights and little or no improvements.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, clarified several issues that were touched upon by the opposition parties. He maintained that there is no Variance request being considered, but only a Special Use Permit and a Site Development Plan Review. He also cleared up the misconception that the Planning Commission had denied the Site Development Plan Review. He explained that the recommendation was to forward the Special Use Permit request to the City Council and to strike the Site Development Review until such time as the access issue was resolved. For further clarification, he noted the condition that provides primary access to the site via Charleston Boulevard or Jones Boulevard contingent upon the applicant obtaining a perpetual access easement. He also stated that driveway access onto Red Rock Street will be accomplished utilizing the crash gate with usage curtailed to emergency situations.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development Department, attested to the inclusion of 18 additional conditions to the Site Plan Review for the social hall. He stated that one of the conditions requires a separate Site Plan Review when the main church structure is ready to be built and that request will go forward to be heard at a public hearing. Responding to MAYOR GOODMAN'S query, MR. GLORE explained that the reason the Planning Commission struck the Site Plan Review was because the access issue needed to be resolved.

MR. GENZER interjected that while the conditions that are in place require the provision of primary access, unless a document is in hand attesting to that acquisition, no permit would be issued for the social hall.

MS. BOLLING came forward and questioned why no site plan for the recreation hall was ever shown. MR. GENZER referenced the overhead showing the site plan, which MS. BOLLING declared was the same plan stricken by the Planning Commission. In an effort to clear up matters, MAYOR GOODMAN explained that regardless if approval is obtained for the site plan, unless the access issue is resolved, the applicant would not be granted the necessary permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 – U-0045-01

MOTION – Continued:

MR. STRONG referenced the additional conditions as mentioned by MR. GLORE and stated that none of the neighbors had had an opportunity to review them. MR. GENZER affirmed that the conditions were the same as those contained in the staff report prepared for the Planning Commission meeting and pertained to the Site Plan Review and not the Special Use Permit.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD shared a few comments relating to her similar experience serving on a Church Building Committee. She emphasized that when the City issues conditions, it does not matter if you are a church; those conditions must be met. Unless the application is approved by the City Council and the specific requirements for parking, safety, code compliance, etc. are met, construction cannot commence. Any applicant not abiding by the conditions will be in violation and subject to closure.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:16 – 4:45)

5-1691

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19A.04.050 for a Church/House of Worship use.
2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years of this approval, this Special Use permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0103-01 - JOSEPH ROBERTS REVOCABLE FAMILY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF SUN NAM YI - Appeal filed by Nevada Gaming Application Consultants on behalf of Sun Nam Yi from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING MARKET at 650 North Eastern Avenue (APN: 139-25-407-002), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****46****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**REESE –DENIED– UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE ADDER, Nevada Gaming Application Consultants, 777 Quartz Avenue, represented the applicant. He explained that at the last Planning Commission meeting there was some opposition, and he presented photos to address each of the issues. In summary, he indicated that there was sufficient lighting in the parking lot area as well as at the entrance of the store; there is a trash receptacle at the back of the store, and, with the exception of high winds, the trash is always contained; there is a 10 foot high wall with wrought iron grating that prevents scaling,

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 127 – U-0103-01

MINUTES – Continued:

which would deter any accosting concerns, and lastly, the store is considered a mini-mart inasmuch as there are a variety of products sold much the same as a regular market would carry.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE asked staff if this store was licensed as a convenience store or a meat market. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, replied that he did not have that information available; however, JIM DiFIORE, Manager of Finance and Business Services, would be able to provide an answer.

MR. ADDER stated that there are a vast number of stores throughout the surrounding areas that are licensed to sell beer and wine. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE alluded to the corner of Poppy Street and Eastern Avenue, where there was a multitude of problem calls received. MR. ADDER responded that the applicant's store has not contributed to any crime in the area. It is not a 24-hour operation, and its sole purpose is to provide services to the tenants in the adjacent apartments and surrounding neighborhood. MR. ADDER commented that the applicant finds it hard to continue with business and would like to supplement his income through the sale of beer and wine. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE stated that the applicant had submitted an application once before and had been denied.

JOSEPH G. ROBERTS, 711 Rancho Circle, owner of the property, enthusiastically supported the applicant and commented on how hard the family has worked to make money and expand their business. MR. ROBERTS verbally listed the address of each of the applicant's stores. He mentioned that he has seen the volume of business generated and it would be favorable and an opportunity for the applicant to be competitive with other businesses with the inclusion of beer and wine sales.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development, informed the Council that this establishment was licensed in 1992 as a convenience store and there is a difference as far as restrictions are concerned for a grocery store versus a convenience store in regards to beer and wine sales.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, commented that the applicant's representatives declare that this is a thriving business, yet they contradict themselves in saying that the business may not be able to continue if a special use permit is not approved. MR. FARLOW was also troubled that the applicant does not reside in the area where he operates his business.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 127 – U-0103-01

MINUTES – Continued:

JERRY KASBACH, representing the Neighborhood Association, emphasized the community concerns regarding vagrancy, graffiti, muggings and gangs. He was bothered that the store is located a ways back from the street, basically hidden behind the front restaurant. Because the adjacent apartment owner cleaned up the graffiti and was instrumental in ridding the area of gangs, he felt that approval of a beer and wine permit would only act as a magnet to attract these types of nuisances once again. Contrary to MR. ADDER'S comments, MR. KASBACH pointed out that due to the lack of lighting, the alleyway is pitch black and a perfect invitation to vagrants. Having already accounted for four muggings in the area, MR. KASBACH surmised that despite the wall, individuals are still able to scale it. At the present time, he stated that the neighborhood is nice and to have it remain that way would require disapproval of the special use permit for beer and wine sales. He added that he has received at least fifty objections to this request.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE affirmed that he frequently drives through this area and within the past six months there have been more than two-dozen trouble calls. Although he does not attribute any of the negative circumstances directly with the applicant's store, MAYOR PRO TEM REESE concluded that the business has thrived and been successful for the past five years without any alcohol sales.

MAYOR GOODMAN shared with the applicant's representatives that it is Council policy to defer to the councilperson who represents the district where the business is located. MAYOR GOODMAN also invited the applicant, through MR. ADDER, to contact him to look at the possibility of establishing another location in the downtown area.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:45 – 5:07)

5-3018/6-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0115-01 - ROSE WHITESIDE LIVING TRUST ON BEHALF OF STEVEN GIBSON - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SECOND-HAND DEALER at 625 Las Vegas Boulevard South (APN: 139-34-410-182), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and amend Condition No. 6 as follows:

6. Dedicate 5 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Las Vegas Boulevard prior to occupancy of this site. *This condition shall not be enforced if private improvements lie within the area to be dedicated.*

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

STEVEN GIBSON, 700 Bonita Avenue, represented this application and briefly gave an overview of his plans to relocate his second-hand dealership.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked if he planned to sell guns or furniture. MR. GIBSON replied he did not, but inquired whether he would be able to buy guns then resell to a gun dealer. COUNCILMAN MACK informed him that he needed to obtain a fire arm license before he could purchase for resale.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 128 – U-0115-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(5:07 – 5:12)

6-340

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one (1) year after the approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19A.04.050 for secondhand dealer.
3. The use shall comply with the applicable requirements of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
5. All existing signage shall be removed, and any new signage shall meet City Code requirements.

Public Works

6. Dedicate 5 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Las Vegas Boulevard prior to occupancy of this site.
7. Remove all substandard public street and alley improvements adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.
8. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All new driveways or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed to meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222a and shall also receive approval from the Nevada Department of Transportation.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0117-01 - WORLD ENTERTAINMENT CENTERS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF BOLA I, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 2,162 SQUARE FOOT TAVERN (LA SALSA) IN CONJUNCTION WITH NEONOPOLIS on the northwest corner of Fremont Street and Las Vegas Boulevard (APN: 139-34-513-003), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK BRUCE, 201 West Liberty Street, Reno, appeared on behalf of BOLA I, LLC and MIKE WELLER, 512 Lavina Street, represented WORLD ENTERTAINMENT CENTERS, LLC. Both concurred with staff's recommendations.

MAYOR GOODMAN, having patronized this restaurant, wished the applicant good luck and commented that it will be a positive addition to the economy of downtown.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 129 – U-0117-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(5:12 – 5:15)

6-494

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
3. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
4. All City Code Requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0118-01 - AMERCO REAL ESTATE COMPANY ON BEHALF OF JOSE MEYER - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A MINOR AUTO REPAIR GARAGE located approximately 460 feet south of Jones Boulevard and Rancho Drive (APN: 138-12-101-005), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JACK LINDELL, 7630 West Algora Avenue, representing JOSE MEYER, concurred with staff's recommendation, but requested that with the designation of C-2, allowing minor auto repair, a use permit be approved for the entire building rather than for each individual use. He stated that MR. MEYER plans to use only one corner of the building with the remainder to be leased out to other tenants. Approval of this request would eliminate any undue hardship to MR. MEYER. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT clarified that use permits are site specific. There are no blanket use permits. If there are numerous tenants, each must apply for a special use permit.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 130 – U-0118-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. LINDELL argued that all minor auto repair should be allowed in this building. There would be no changes to conditions or site designs or layouts. He stated that the C-2 designation should take precedent over staff conditions and it would be unfair to require each tenant to apply for separate special use permits.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 130 [U-0118-01] and Item 131 [SD-0049-01] was held under Item 130 [U-0118-01].

(5:15 – 5:20)

6-572

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this special use permit is not exercised within two years of this approval, this special use permit shall be null and void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. All City Code Requirements and all City Departments design standards shall be met.
3. All repair and service work shall be performed within a completely enclosed building.
4. Openings to the service bays shall not face public right-of-way and shall be designed to minimize the visual intrusion into adjoining properties.
5. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
6. No outside storage of stock, equipment, or residual used equipment shall be located or stored in any open area outside of the enclosed building.
7. All disabled vehicles shall be stored in an area which is screened from view from the surrounding properties and adjoining streets. Vehicles shall not be stored on the property longer than 45 days.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0118-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - SD-0049-01 - AMERCO REAL ESTATE COMPANY ON BEHALF OF JOSE MEYER -

Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 24,675 SQUARE FOOT MINOR AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR FACILITY on 2.10 acres, located approximately 460 feet south of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Rancho Drive (APN: 138-12-101-005), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JACK LINDELL, 7630 West Algora Avenue, represented this application.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 130 [U-0118-01] and Item 131 [SD-0049-01] was held under Item 130 [U-0118-01].

(2:25 – 2:28)

4-1114

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 131 – SD-0049-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The site plan shall be revised to depict the provision of three additional handicap accessible parking spaces that are in conformance with Section 19A.10.010(G) of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.
3. The landscape plan shall be amended to be in conformance with the Urban Design Guidelines and Standards as follows: The landscape planters along both Jones Boulevard and Rancho Drive shall portray minimum 24-inch box trees spaced 20 feet on-center with a minimum of four 5-gallon shrubs planted in addition to each tree. In addition, the side property lines (north and south) shall be amended to indicate minimum 24-inch box trees planted 30 feet on-center with a minimum of four 5-gallon shrubs planted in addition to each tree.
4. The building elevations shall be revised to depict the addition of contrasting colors and architectural elements to the rear (north) and side (west) elevations.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 131 – SD-0049-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

11. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Rancho Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Also, construct full-width alley improvements adjacent to the south edge of this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
12. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. Also, the proposed driveway accessing Rancho Drive shall receive approval from the Nevada Department of Transportation.
14. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 131 – SD-0049-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

15. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
16. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Rancho Drive adjacent to this site.
17. Submit an application for an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements in the Rancho Drive and Jones Boulevard public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0047-01 - TORREY PINES TRUST ON BEHALF OF R.L. HOMES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) on 8.58 acres on the southeast corner of the intersection of Torrey Pines Drive and La Madre Way (APN: 125-35-801-001), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

3

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ART MACARAEG, 2260 Corporate Circle, appearing on behalf of R.L. HOMES, LLC reviewed the conditions and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 132 – Z-0047-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 132 [Z-0047-01] and Item 133 [Z-0047-01(1)] was held under Item 132 [Z-0047-01].

(5:20 – 5:22)

6-758

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Construct half-street improvements on La Madre Way and all incomplete half-street improvements on Torrey Pines Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
4. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 132 – Z-0047-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0047-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0047-01(1) - TORREY PINES TRUST ON BEHALF OF R.L. HOMES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 47-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 8.58 acres on the southeast corner of the intersection of Torrey Pines Drive and La Madre Way (APN: 125-35-801-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ART MACARAEG, 2260 Corporate Circle, appeared on behalf of R.L. HOMES, LLC.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 133 – Z-0047-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 132 [Z-0047-01] and Item 133 [Z-0047-01(1)] was held under Item 132 [Z-0047-01].

(5:20 – 5:22)

6-758

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the site plan submitted on August 22, 2001.
2. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0047-01) to an R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development –5 Units per Acre) Zoning District.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be 20 feet in the front, five feet on the side, 10 feet on a corner side yard, and 15 feet in the rear.
6. Streetscape landscaping shall meet the requirements of the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards in regards to tree and shrub size (minimum 24-inch box trees and 5-gallon shrubs), and all trees provided within the open space area to be minimum 24-inch box trees.
7. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the street frontages. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 133 – Z-0047-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

11. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, onsite circulation and parking layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated entry drives shall comply with Standard Drawing #222A.
12. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
13. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0047-01, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0058-01 - WESTVIEW, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF R/S DEVELOPMENT** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] and U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) and R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) on approximately 20 acres on the southwest corner of Wittig Avenue and the Tee Pee Lane Alignment (APN: 125-19-501-011, 012, 013, 014, 125-19-601-002 and 003), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, represented the applicant and explained that this is a residential project and requires a zone change. It conforms to the Town Center Land Use Plan in the expanded Town Center area. He concurred with all of the conditions.

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that this project will be unique and prosperous.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0058-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 135 [Z-0058-01(1)] for related discussion.
(5:22 – 5:26)

6-819

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Haley Avenue, 30 feet for Wittig Avenue, 40 feet for Teepee Lane and a 20-foot radius on the southwest corner of Wittig Avenue and Teepee Lane prior to the issuance of any permits.
4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able, on Wittig Avenue, Teepee Lane and Haley Avenue concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. Tee Pee Lane shall be constructed to comply with all applicable Town Center standards.
5. Provide two lanes of paved, legal access to this site prior to occupancy of any units within this development.
6. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend oversized public sewer in Deer Springs Way from El Capitan to Teepee Lane, and extend public sewer in Teepee Lane to the north edge of this property and in Haley Avenue to the western edge of this site to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0058-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.

7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local rainage improvements.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0058-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a final map, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

9. The final layout of this site shall be determined with the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0058-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0058-01(1) - WESTVIEW, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF R/S DEVELOPMENT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 100-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on approximately 20 acres at the southwest corner of Wittig Avenue and the Tee Pee Lane Alignment (APN: 125-19-501-011, 012, 013, 014, 125-19-601-002 and 003), U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) and L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designations] [PROPOSED: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) and R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following condition:

- *A deed restriction, included in the CC&R'S as approved by the City Attorney's Office, shall be recorded on this property to require that the homes on any lot abutting the western boundary of the RPD-3 portion shall be limited to one story in height.*

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0058-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out on the overhead a map showing City property surrounded by County property. Prior to the motion, he proposed adding a deed restriction to the conditions. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN recommended that the deed restriction be incorporated into the Homeowners' Association's CC&R'S to conform with Nevada Revised Statutes regarding CC&R'S on property and notice to homeowners. He requested the draft CC&R'S be forwarded to the City Attorney's Office for review.

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that the City will be proposing a bill banning homeowners associations from prohibiting their tenants from flying the United States flag on their particular property. He asked ATTORNEY AMICK to make sure that homeowners associations do not have that kind of prohibition within the CCR&R'S as well.

COUNCILMAN MACK concluded that most of the westerly properties are large and this property in particular has nice open space.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 134 [Z-0058-01] for related discussion.

(5:22 – 5:26)

6-819

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0058-01) to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development –3 Units per Acre) and R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development –5 Units per Acre) Zoning Districts.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The site plan shall be revised to depict an enlarged common open space area, in compliance with Title 19A.06.040.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0058-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of:

Front (to House)	18	Feet
Front (to Garage)	20	Feet
Side	5	Feet
Corner Side	10	Feet
Rear	15	Feet
5. The landscape plan shall be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to depict streetscape landscaping that meets the intent of the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards in regard to tree and shrub size (minimum 24-inch box trees and 5-gallon shrubs), and indicate the use and proposed landscaping for the two common open space areas.
6. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the street frontages. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
7. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
9. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0058-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated access drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located, and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
13. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
14. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
15. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, landscaping, private drives and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
16. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0058-01 on this same agenda; and all other site-related actions.
17. Routes for construction traffic will be coordinated with city staff.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0060-01 - CHETAK DEVELOPMENT** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: C-1 (Limited Commercial) TO: C-2 (General Commercial) Zone on approximately 2.36 acres on the northwest corner of Sahara Avenue and Paradise Road (APN: 162-03-411-009, 010, and 011), PROPOSED USE: TATTOO PARLOR, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – DENIED – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DENNIS WATTS, 201 Las Vegas Boulevard, South, appeared on behalf of the landowner. He explained that staff agrees with the use contemplated but does not agree with the request to change to C-2 (General Commercial) because of the potential to open up the door to many uses. He noted that staff considers this property as part of the entry way to the City and is concerned that it would end up being a used car lot, which would not be cohesive with City plans. MR. WATTS offered assurance that with the long-term lease held by the McDonald Corporation, there would be no opportunity to even consider a used car lot on that property. He also mentioned that there was a concern with the lot configuration and clarified that the limited space makes it difficult to build anything other than what is planned.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0060-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MIKE WEINBERG, owner of the establishment, explained that he currently has nine other shops spread throughout the Valley.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 136 [Z-0060-01] and Item 137 [U-0114-01] was held under Item 136 [Z-0060-01].

(5:26 – 5:34)

6-1005

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO Z-0060-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0114-01 - CHETAK DEVELOPMENT** - Appeal filed by Cornerstone Company on behalf of Chetak Development from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A TATTOO PARLOR at 2206 Paradise Road, (APN: 162-03-411-009), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone [PROPOSED: C-2 (General Commercial)], Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – DENIED - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DENNIS WATTS, 201 Las Vegas Boulevard, South and MIKE WEINBERG, owner of the establishment were available for questions.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 137 – U-0114-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 136 [Z-0060-01] and Item 137 [U-0114-01] was held under Item 136 [Z-0060-01].

(5:26 – 5:34)

6-1005

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0033-99 - NADER-NOOROZIAN** - Request to Amend a portion of the West Las Vegas Plan FROM: P (Park/School) TO: SC (Service Commercial) on 0.27 acres on the southwest corner of Owens Avenue and "H" Street (APN: 139-27-110-001 and 004), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Dennis E. Rusk Architecture dated 10/3/2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 101 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 102 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 116 [V-0053-01], Item 117 [Z-0007-61(2)], Item 123 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [Abeyance Item – New Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 62 [Abeyance Item – New Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] and Item 138 [GPA-0033-99] to 11/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked to hold Item 138 to give the applicant ample time to meet with City staff.

There was no further discussion.

(3:26 – 3:30)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

U-0097-00(1), U-0121-01, U-0124-01, V-0023-89(3), V-0046-89(3), V-0072-88(3), V-0064-01, VAC-0022-01 – 10/17/2001 Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, addressing COUNCILMEN REESE and WEEKLY, stated that pertinent to the proposed school site, the Clark County School District has plans to demolish a row of homes. In this regard, COUNCILMAN REESE replied that he directed his staff to set up a meeting with the School District.

5:34 – 5:36

6-1270

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 West Cincinnati, responding to the concerns of several citizens, touched on the subject of grant money. He specifically referred to funds that were budgeted for the library. MR. CHRISTOFF emphasized the importance of providing the proper tools for children by offering proper facilities, an extensive selection of books and the most advanced equipment. He felt the situation should be addressed with some urgency in an effort to prevent young people from steering towards negative elements.

COUNCILMAN REESE expressed his exact sentiments and assured MR. CHRISTOFF that he would work with him on this project.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD suggested contacting the Lied Foundation and the McDonald Reynolds Corporation in an effort to obtain funds. She knew that the University system had made application and felt it would be opportune for the City to look into the same for this particular purpose.

5:36 – 5:41

6-1562

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

MINUTES – Continued:

WENDELL CAMPBELL, 50178 Windy Lane, informed Council that he was perturbed by prior police enforcement actions. Blaming the District Attorney and the Police Department, he stated that those actions resulted in his loss of employment, banishment from the union and disruption of his life. He explained that three years ago he was arrested and charged with both felony and misdemeanor offenses and was subjected to humiliation and harassment.

MAYOR GOODMAN explained that during this portion of the Council meeting, no action could be taken. He also emphasized that he has no jurisdiction over the District Attorney's office nor the Police Department. As a possible solution, MAYOR GOODMAN suggested MR. CAMPBELL contact DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT to see if any resolution can be attained.

5:41 – 5:49
6-1870

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:49 P.M. (6-1870)

NOTE: After the meeting, TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, submitted written comments pertaining to all public meetings of the City.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 3, 2001

NOTES AND DIRECTIVES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed MR. VINCENT to advise him in the future on funds being taken from parks so that he does not make promises to his constituents that he will not be able to keep, as far as Ward 5 parks. (See Item No. 5)

(9:24 – 9:32)

1-723

COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC to draft a bill with hefty fines so that the Police Department can have a good tool to enforce the prohibition of using the bike lanes to bypass vehicles. (See Item No. 35)

MAYOR GOODMAN directed staff to explore how far and how wide residents are willing to participate in landscape districts. (See Item No. 35)

MAYOR GOODMAN instructed MR. GOECKE to explore not having the City be responsible for two years of the landscape maintenance on this SID, especially since the neighbors seem to be willing to pay for it, and to see how much money the residents are actually willing to contribute. (See Item No. 35)

COUNCILMAN BROWN directed MR. GOECKE to explore the proposed elements in a more traditional linear design rather than a serpentine design. (See Item No. 35)

COUNCILMAN MACK directed MR. GOECKE to put together a priority list for street rehabilitation and requested the criteria for street rehab. (See Item No. 35)

MAYOR GOODMAN directed MR. GOECKE to delineate the costs for the residents so that they clearly know their obligations. (See Item No. 35)

COUNCILMAN BROWN instructed MR. GOECKE to list on paper the costs allocated for the various parts of the project. (See Item No. 35)

(9:33 – 10:04)

1-1026

COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed MR. GOECKE to report to him on what part of Summerlin Parkway the City maintains. (See Item No. 36)

(9:33 – 10:04)

1-1026

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 3, 2001

Notes and Directives – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed MR. VINCENT to perform an analysis of the total cost and potential savings of a voluntary separation plan for those employees at the 20- and 25-year level of service. (See Item No. 51)

In preparation for an upcoming retreat, COUNCILMAN BROWN instructed that all departments prepare a summary list of the programs they are involved with that they are looking toward becoming more efficient and addressing issues such as labor costs. This would make it easier for the Council to know which department heads are going above and beyond with that directive. (See Item No. 51)

(10:04 – 10:21)

1-2245

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed MS. CODER to bring the matter back before the Council to be reviewed and considered when a resolution is reached. (See Item No. 54)

(10:52 – 11:16)

2-653

COUNCILMAN MACK directed MR. VINCENT to provide him with briefings on any future remaining funds, because there are park rehabilitation needs in Ward 6. (See Item No. 63)

(11:27 – 11:35)

2-1985

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed MR. VINCENT to redirect any money left over on this project to the Municipal Golf Course, which is in desperate need of repairs and upgraded landscaping. (See Item No. 64)

(11:35 – 12:15)

2-2345/3-1

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested clarifying information from MS. SEGERBLOM as to why 71% of the funding will be applied to staff the M.A.S.H. tent. (See Item No. 68)

(1:18- 1:59)

3-1647

COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested that a wall be dedicated where pictures can be displayed of the employees called to duty until they return. MS. ENUS indicated that she will work on that. (See Item No. 72)

(2:26 – 2:34)

4-847